arXiv:1405.6634v2 [math.PR] 30 Jul 2014

Bulk Universality for Deformed Wigner Matrices

Ji Oon Lee
Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST
jioon.lee@kaist.edu

Kevin Schnelli
School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study
kschnelli@ias.edu

Ben Stetler
Department of Mathematics, Harvard University
bstetler@math.harvard.edu

Horng-Tzer Yau[1]
Department of Mathematics, Harvard University
htyau@math.harvard.edu

We consider $N \times N$ random matrices of the form $H = W + V$ where W is a real symmetric or complex Hermitian Wigner matrix and V a random or deterministic, real, diagonal matrix whose entries are independent of W. We assume subexponential decay for the matrix entries of W and we choose V so that the eigenvalues of W and V are typically of the same order. For a large class of diagonal matrices V we show that the local statistics in the bulk of the spectrum are universal in the limit of large N.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 15B52, 60B20, 82B44
Keywords: Random matrix, Local semicircle law, Universality

1. Introduction

A prominent class of random matrix models is the Wigner ensemble, consisting of $N \times N$ real symmetric or complex Hermitian matrices $W = (w_{ij})$ whose matrix entries are random variables that are independent up to the symmetry constraint $W = W^*$. The first rigorous result about the spectrum of random matrices of this type is Wigner's global semicircle law [48], which states that the empirical distribution of the rescaled eigenvalues, (λ_i), of a Wigner matrix W is given by

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{\lambda_i}(E) \longrightarrow \rho_{sc}(E) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{(4-E^2)_+}\,, \qquad (E \in \mathbb{R})\,, \tag{1.1}$$

as $N \to \infty$, in the weak sense. The distribution ρ_{sc} is called the *semicircle law*.

Let $p_W^N(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)$ denote the joint probability density of the (unordered) eigenvalues of W. If the entries of the Wigner matrix W are i.i.d. real or complex Gaussian random variables, the joint density of the

[1]This work is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS1307444 and the Simons investigator fellowship; it was completed while the author was visiting the Institute for Advanced Study.

eigenvalues, $p_W^N \equiv p_G^N$, is given by

$$p_G^N(\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_N) = \frac{1}{Z_G^N} \prod_{i<j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2/4}, \tag{1.2}$$

with $\beta = 1, 2$, for the real, complex case, respectively. The normalization $Z_G^N \equiv Z_G^N(\beta)$ in (1.2) can be computed explicitly. The real and complex Gaussian matrix ensembles so defined are known as the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE, $\beta = 1$) and Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE, $\beta = 2$), respectively, and as noted above we denote the corresponding joint densities as p_G^N instead of p_W^N.

The *n-point correlation functions* are defined by

$$\varrho_{W,n}^N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-n}} p_W^N(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)\, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{n+1}\, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{n+2} \cdots \mathrm{d}\lambda_N\,, \qquad (1 \le n \le N)\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Using orthogonal polynomials the correlation functions of the GUE and GOE have been explicitly computed by Dyson, Gaudin and Mehta (see, e.g., [36]). For the Gaussian unitary ensemble, their results assert that the limiting behavior on small scales at a fixed energy E in the bulk of the spectrum, i.e., for $|E| < 2$, satisfies

$$\frac{1}{(\rho_{sc}(E))^n} \varrho_{G,n}^N \left(E + \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{sc}(E)N}, E + \frac{\alpha_2}{\rho_{sc}(E)N}, \dots, E + \frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{sc}(E)N} \right) \longrightarrow \det\left(K(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)\right)_{i,j=1}^n\,, \tag{1.4}$$

as $N \to \infty$, where K is the sine-kernel,

$$K(x, y) := \frac{\sin \pi(x - y)}{\pi(x - y)}\,. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that the limit in (1.4) is independent of the energy E as long as E is in the bulk of the spectrum. The rescaling by a factor $1/N$ of the correlation functions in (1.4) corresponds to the typical separation of consecutive eigenvalues and we refer to the law under such a scaling as *local statistics*. Similar but more complicated formulas were also obtained for the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble; see, e.g., [1, 36] for reviews. Note that the limiting correlation functions do not factorize, reflecting the fact that the eigenvalues remain strongly correlated in the limit of large N.

The Wigner-Dyson-Gaudin-Mehta conjecture, or bulk universality conjecture, states that the local eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices are universal in the sense that they depend only on the symmetry class of the matrix, but are otherwise independent of the details of the distribution of the matrix entries. The bulk universality can be formulated in terms of weak convergence of correlation functions or in terms of eigenvalue gap statistics. This conjecture for all symmetry classes has been established in a series of papers [23, 21, 27, 32, 22, 30]. After this work began, parallel results were obtained in certain cases in [45, 46].

In the present paper, we consider deformed Wigner matrices. A deformed Wigner matrix, H, is an $N \times N$ random matrix of the form

$$H = V + W\,, \tag{1.6}$$

where V is a real, diagonal, random or deterministic matrix and W is a real symmetric or complex Hermitian Wigner matrix independent of V. The matrices are normalized so that the eigenvalues of V and W are order one. If the entries of V are random we may think of V as a "random potential"; if the entries of V are deterministic, matrices of the form (1.6) are sometimes referred to as "Wigner matrices with external source".

Assuming that the empirical eigenvalue distribution of $V = \mathrm{diag}(v_1, \dots, v_N)$,

$$\widehat{\nu} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1} \delta_{v_i}\,,$$

converges weakly, respectively weakly in probability, to a non-random measure, ν, it was shown in [40] that the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of H converges weakly in probability to a deterministic measure. This measure depends on ν and is thus in general distinct from ρ_{sc}. We refer to it as the *deformed semicircle law*, henceforth denoted by ρ_{fc}. There is no explicit formula for ρ_{fc} in terms of ν. Instead, ρ_{fc} is obtained as the solution of a functional equation for its Stieltjes transform (see (2.9) below). It is known that ρ_{fc} admits a density [6]. Depending on ν, ρ_{fc} may be supported on several disjoint intervals. For simplicity, we assume

below that ν is such that ρ_{fc} is supported on a single bounded interval. Further, we choose $\widehat{\nu}$ such that all eigenvalues of H remain close to the support of ρ_{fc}, i.e., there are no "outliers" for N sufficiently large.

If W belongs to the GUE, H is said to belong to the deformed GUE. The deformed GUE for the special case when V has two eigenvalues $\pm a$, each with equal multiplicity, has been treated in a series of papers [8, 2, 9]. In this setting the local eigenvalue statistics of H can be obtained via the solution to a Riemann-Hilbert problem; see also [16] for the case when V has equispaced eigenvalues. Bulk universality for correlation functions of the deformed GUE with rather general deterministic or random V has been proved in [43] by means of the Brezin-Hikami/Johansson integration formula.

In the present paper, we establish bulk universality of local averages of correlation functions for deformed Wigner matrices of the form $H = V + W$, where W is a real symmetric or complex Hermitian Wigner matrix and V is a deterministic or random real diagonal matrix. We assume that the entries of W are centered independent random variables with variance $1/N$ whose distributions decay sub-exponentially; see Definition 2.1. If V is random, we assume for simplicity that its entries (v_i) are i.i.d. random variables. We assume that $\widehat{\nu}$ converges weakly, respectively weakly in probability, to a non-random measure ν; see Assumption 2.2. We further assume that the corresponding deformed semicircle law ρ_{fc} is supported on a single compact interval and has square root decay at both endpoints. Sufficient conditions for these assumptions to hold have appeared in [44] and are rephrased in Assumption 2.3. Under these assumptions, our main results in Theorem 2.5 and in Theorem 2.6 assert that the limiting correlation functions of the deformed Wigner ensemble are universal when averaged over a small energy window. Note that our results hold for complex Hermitian and real symmetric deformed Wigner matrices.

Before we outline our proofs, we recall the notion of *β-ensemble* or *log-gas* which generalizes the measures in (1.2). Let U be a real-valued potential and consider the measure on $\mathbb{R}^N$ defined by the density

$$\mu_U^N(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) := \frac{1}{Z_U^N} \prod_{i<j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\lambda_i^2/2 + U(\lambda_i)\right)/2}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\beta > 0$ and $Z_U^N \equiv Z_U^N(\beta)$ is a normalization. Bulk universality for β-ensembles asserts that the local correlation functions for measures of the form (1.7) are universal (for sufficiently regular potentials U) in the sense that for each value of $\beta > 0$ they agree with the local correlation functions of the Gaussian ensemble with $U \equiv 0$.

For the classical values $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$, the eigenvalue correlation functions of μ_U^N can be explicitly expressed in terms of polynomials orthogonal to the exponential weight in (1.7). Thus the analysis of the correlation functions relies on the asymptotic properties of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials. This approach, initiated by Dyson, Gaudin and Mehta (see [36] for a review), was the starting point for many results on the universality for β-ensemble with $\beta \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ [7, 18, 19, 17, 34, 41].

For general $\beta > 0$, bulk universality of β-ensembles has been established in [10, 11, 12] for potentials $U \in C^4$. Recently, alternative approaches to bulk universality for β-ensembles with general β have been presented in [42] and [4].

We emphasize at this point that the eigenvalue distributions of the deformed ensemble in (1.6) are in general not of the form (1.7), even when W belongs to the GUE or the GOE.

Returning to the random matrix setting, we recall that the general approach to bulk universality for (generalized) Wigner matrices in [23, 27, 32] consists of three steps:

(1) establish a local semicircle law for the density of eigenvalues;
(2) prove universality of Wigner matrices with a small Gaussian component by analyzing the convergence of Dyson Brownian motion to local equilibrium;
(3) compare the local statistics of Wigner ensembles with Gaussian divisible ensembles to remove the small Gaussian component of Step (2).

For an overview of recent results and this three-step strategy see [29]. Note that the "local equilibrium" in Step (2) refers to the measure (1.2), with $\beta = 1, 2$ respectively in the real symmetric, complex Hermitian case.

For deformed Wigner matrices, the *local deformed semicircle law*, the analogue of Step (1), was established in [37] for random V. However, when V is random the eigenvalues of $V + W$ fluctuate on scale $N^{-1/2}$ in

the bulk (see [37]), but their gaps remain rigid on scale N^{-1}. To circumvent the mesoscopic fluctuations of the eigenvalue positions we condition on V, considering its entries to be fixed. The methods of [37] can be extended as outlined in Section 3 to prove a local law on the optimal scale for "typical" realizations of random as well as deterministic potentials V.

Our corresponding version of Step (2), a proof of bulk universality for deformed Wigner ensembles with small Gaussian component, is the main novelty of this paper. The local equilibrium of Dyson Brownian motion in the deformed case is unknown but may effectively be approximated by a "reference" β-ensemble that we explicitly construct in Section 4. In Section 5, we analyze the convergence of the local distribution of the deformed Wigner ensemble under Dyson Brownian motion to the "reference" β-ensemble. However, since the "reference" β-ensemble is not given by the invariant GUE/GOE, it also evolves in time. Using the rigidity estimates for the deformed ensemble established in Step (1) and the rigidity estimates for general β-ensembles established in [12], we obtain in Section 5 bounds on the time evolution of the relative entropy between the two measures being compared. The idea to estimate the entropy flow of the Dyson Brownian motion with respect to the "instantaneous global equilibrium state" was initiated in [27] and [28]. On the other hand, the entropy flow with respect to time dependent local equilibrium states was initiated in the work [49]. In this paper, we combine both methods to yield an effective estimate on the entropy flow of the Dyson Brownian motion in the deformed case. This global entropy estimate is then used in Section 6 to conclude that the local statistics of the locally-constrained deformed ensemble with small Gaussian component agree with those of the locally-constrained reference β-ensemble. Relying on the main technical result of [30], we further conclude that the local statistics of the locally-constrained reference β-ensemble agrees with the local statistics of the GUE/GOE. Once this conclusion is obtained for the locally-constrained ensembles, it can be extended to the non-constrained ensembles. This completes Step (2) in the deformed case.

In the Sections 7 and 8, we outline Step (3) for deformed Wigner matrices; the proof is similar to the argument for Wigner matrices in [31]. The main technical input is a bound on the resolvent entries of H on scales $N^{-1-\epsilon}$ that can be obtained from the local law in Step (1). In Section 8, we then combine Steps (1)-(3) to conclude the proof of our main results, Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6.

In the Appendix, we collect several technical results on the deformed semicircle law and its Stieltjes transform. Some of these results have previously appeared in [44] and [37, 38].

Acknowledgements: We thank Paul Bourgade, László Erdős and Antti Knowles for helpful comments. We are grateful to Chang-Shou Lin and the Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences in Taipei for their hospitality during this research. We thank Thomas Spencer and the Institute for Advanced Study for their hospitality during the academic year 2013-2014. J. O. Lee is partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea Grant 2011-0013474 and TJ Park Junior Faculty Fellowship. The stay of K. Schnelli at the IAS is supported by the Fund for Math. B. Stetler is supported by NSF GRFP Fellowship DGE-1144152. H.-T. Yau is partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1307444 and a Simons investigator fellowship.

2. Assumptions and main results

In this section, we list our assumptions and our main results.

2.1. Definition of the model.

We first introduce real symmetric and complex Hermitian Wigner matrices.

Definition 2.1. *A real symmetric Wigner matrix is an $N \times N$ random matrix, W, whose entries, (w_{ij}), $(1 \le i, j \le N)$, are independent (up to the symmetry constraint $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$) real centered random variables satisfying*

$$\mathbb{E}w_{ii}^2 = \frac{2}{N}\,, \qquad \mathbb{E}w_{ij}^2 = \frac{1}{N}\,, \qquad (i \neq j)\,. \tag{2.1}$$

In case (w_{ij}) are Gaussian random variables, W belongs to the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE).

A complex Hermitian Wigner matrix is an $N \times N$ random matrix, W, whose entries, (w_{ij}), $(1 \le i, j \le N)$, are independent (up to the symmetry constraint $w_{ij} = \overline{w}_{ji}$) complex centered random variables satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}w_{ii}^2 = \frac{1}{N}\,, \qquad \mathbb{E}|w_{ij}|^2 = \frac{1}{N}\,, \qquad \mathbb{E}w_{ij}^2 = 0\,, \qquad (i \neq j)\,. \tag{2.2}$$

For simplicity, we assume that the real and imaginary parts of (w_{ij}) are independent for all i, j. This ensures that $\mathbb{E}w_{ij}^2 = 0$, $(i \neq j)$. In case $(\operatorname{Re} w_{ij})$ and $(\operatorname{Im} w_{ij})$ are Gaussian random variables, W belongs to the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE).

Irrespective of the symmetry class of W, we always assume that the entries (w_{ij}) have a subexponential decay, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{N}|w_{ij}| > x\right) \leq C_0 \,\mathrm{e}^{-x^{1/\theta}}\,, \tag{2.3}$$

for some positive constants C_0 and $\theta > 1$. In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}|w_{ij}|^p \leq C\frac{(\theta p)^{\theta p}}{N^{p/2}}\,, \qquad (p \geq 3)\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $V = \operatorname{diag}(v_i)$ be an $N \times N$ diagonal, random or deterministic matrix, whose entries (v_i) are real-valued. We denote by $\widehat{\nu}$ the empirical eigenvalue distribution of the diagonal matrix $V = \operatorname{diag}(v_i)$,

$$\widehat{\nu} := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{v_i}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Assumption 2.2. *There is a (non-random) centered compactly supported probability measure ν such that the following holds.*

1. *If V is a random matrix, we assume that (v_i) are independent and identically distributed real random variables with law ν. Further, we assume that (v_i) are independent of (w_{ij}).*
2. *If V is a deterministic matrix, we assume that there is $\alpha_0 > 0$, such that for any compact set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ with $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \operatorname{supp}\nu) > 0$, there is C such that*

$$\max_{z\in\mathcal{D}} \left| \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\nu}(v)}{v - z} - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{v - z} \right| \leq CN^{-\alpha_0}\,, \tag{2.6}$$

for N sufficiently large.

Note that (2.6) implies that $\widehat{\nu}$ converges to ν in the weak sense as $N \to \infty$. Also note that the condition (2.6) holds for large N with high probability for $0 < \alpha_0 < 1/2$ if (v_i) are i.i.d. random variables.

2.2. **Deformed semicircle law.** The deformed semicircle can be described in terms of the Stieltjes transform: For a (probability) measure ω on the real line we define its Stieltjes transform, m_ω, by

$$m_\omega(z) := \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega(v)}{v - z}\,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+)\,.$$

Note that m_ω is an analytic function in the upper half plane and that $\operatorname{Im} m_\omega(z) \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$. Assuming that ω is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, we can recover the density of ω from m_ω by the inversion formula

$$\omega(E) = \lim_{\eta\searrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} m_\omega(E + \mathrm{i}\eta)\,, \qquad (E \in \mathbb{R})\,. \tag{2.7}$$

We use the same symbols to denote measures and their densities. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{\eta\searrow 0} \operatorname{Re} m_\omega(E + \mathrm{i}\eta) = \fint \frac{\omega(v)\,\mathrm{d}v}{v - E}\,, \qquad (E \in \mathbb{R})\,,$$

whenever the left side exists. Here the integral on the right is understood as principle value integral. We denote in the following by $\operatorname{Re} m_\omega(E)$ and $\operatorname{Im} m_\omega(E)$ the limiting quantities

$$\operatorname{Re} m_\omega(E) \equiv \lim_{\eta\searrow 0} \operatorname{Re} m_\omega(E + \mathrm{i}\eta)\,, \qquad \operatorname{Im} m_\omega(E) \equiv \lim_{\eta\searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} m_\omega(E + \mathrm{i}\eta)\,, \qquad (E \in \mathbb{R})\,, \tag{2.8}$$

whenever the limits exist.

Choosing ω to be the standard semicircular law ρ_{sc}, the Stieltjes transform $m_{\rho_{sc}} \equiv m_{sc}$ can be computed explicitly and one checks that m_{sc} satisfies the relation

$$m_{sc}(z) = \frac{-1}{m_{sc}(z) + z}\,, \qquad \operatorname{Im} m_{sc}(z) \geq 0\,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+)\,.$$

The deformed semicircle law is conveniently defined through its Stieltjes transform. Let ν be the limiting probability measure of Assumption 2.2. Then it is well-known [40] that the functional equation

$$m_{fc}(z) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{v - z - m_{fc}(z)}\,, \qquad \operatorname{Im} m_{fc}(z) \geq 0\,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+)\,, \tag{2.9}$$

has a unique solution, also denoted by m_{fc}, that satisfies $\limsup_{\eta \searrow 0} \operatorname{Im} m_{fc}(E + \mathrm{i}\eta) < \infty$, for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, from (2.9), we obtain that

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{|v - z - m_{fc}(z)|^2} = \frac{\operatorname{Im} m_{fc}(z)}{\operatorname{Im} m_{fc}(z) + \eta} \leq 1\,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+)\,, \tag{2.10}$$

thus $|m_{fc}(z)| \leq 1$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$.

The deformed semicircle law, denoted by ρ_{fc}, is then defined through its density

$$\rho_{fc}(E) := \lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} m_{fc}(E + \mathrm{i}\eta)\,, \qquad (E \in \mathbb{R})\,.$$

The measure ρ_{fc} has been studied in detail in [6]. For example, it was shown there that the density ρ_{fc} is an analytic function inside the support of the measure.

The measure ρ_{fc} is also called the additive free convolution of the semicircular law and the measure ν. More generally, the additive free convolution of two (probability) measure ω_1 and ω_2, usually denoted by $\omega_1 \boxplus \omega_2$, is defined as the distribution of the sum of two freely independent non-commutative random variables, having distributions ω_1, ω_2 respectively; we refer to, e.g., [47, 1] for reviews. Similarly to (2.9), the free convolution measure $\omega_1 \boxplus \omega_2$ can be described in terms of a set of functional equations for the Stieltjes transforms; see [15, 5].

Our second assumption on ν guarantees (see Lemma 3.5 below) that ρ_{fc} is supported on a single interval and that ρ_{fc} has a square root behavior at the two endpoints of the support. Sufficient conditions for this behavior have been presented in [44]. The assumptions below also rule out the possibility that the matrix H has "outliers" in the limit of large N.

Assumption 2.3. *Let I_ν be the smallest closed interval such that $\operatorname{supp}\nu \subseteq I_\nu$. Then, there exists $\varpi > 0$ such that*

$$\inf_{x \in I_\nu} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{(v - x)^2} \geq 1 + \varpi\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Similarly, let $I_{\widehat{\nu}}$ be the smallest closed interval such that $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{\nu} \subseteq I_{\widehat{\nu}}$. Then,

(1) *for random (v_i), there is a constant $\mathfrak{t} > 0$, such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{x \in I_{\widehat{\nu}}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\nu}(v)}{(v - x)^2} \geq 1 + \varpi \right) \geq 1 - N^{-\mathfrak{t}}\,, \tag{2.12}$$

for N sufficiently large;

(2) *for deterministic (v_i),*

$$\inf_{x \in I_{\widehat{\nu}}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\nu}(v)}{(v - x)^2} \geq 1 + \varpi\,, \tag{2.13}$$

for N sufficiently large.

We give two examples for which (2.11) is satisfied:

(1) Choosing $\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{-a} + \delta_a)$, $a \geq 0$, we have $I_\nu = [-a, a]$. For $a < 1$, one checks that there is a $\varpi = \varpi(a)$ such that (2.11) is satisfied and that the deformed semicircle law is supported on a single interval with a square root type behavior at the edges. However, in case $a > 1$, the deformed semicircle law is supported on two disjoint intervals. For more details see [8, 2, 9].

(2) Let ν to be a centered Jacobi measure of the form

$$\nu(v) = Z^{-1}(v - 1)^{\mathrm{a}}(1 - v)^{\mathrm{b}} d(v) \mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(v)\,, \tag{2.14}$$

where $d \in C^1([-1, 1])$, $d(v) > 0$, $-1 < \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} < \infty$, and Z a normalization constant. Then for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} < 1$, there is $\varpi > 0$ such that (2.11) is satisfied with $I_\nu = [-1, 1]$. However, if $\mathrm{a} > 1$ or $\mathrm{b} > 1$ then (2.3)

may not be satisfied. In this setting the deformed semicircle law is still supported on a single interval, however the square root behavior at the edge may fail. We refer to [37, 38] for a detailed discussion.

Lemma 2.4. *Let ν satisfy (2.11) for some $\varpi > 0$. Then there are $L_-, L_+ \in \mathbb{R}$, with $L_- \leq -2$, $2 \leq L_+$, such that* $\operatorname{supp} \rho_{fc} = [L_-, L_+]$. *Moreover, ρ_{fc} has a strictly positive density in (L_-, L_+).*

Lemma 2.4 follows directly from Lemma 3.5 below.

2.3. **Results on bulk universality.** Recall that we denote by $\varrho^N_{H,n}$ the n-point correlation function of $H = V + W$, where V is either a real deterministic or real random diagonal matrix. We denote by $\varrho^N_{G,n}$ the n-point correlation function of the GUE, respectively the GOE.

A function $O : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called an n-particle *observable* if O is symmetric, smooth and compactly supported. Recall from Lemma 2.4 that we denote by $L_\pm$ the endpoints of the support of the measure ρ_{fc}. For deterministic V we have the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let W be a complex Hermitian or a real symmetric Wigner matrix satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Let V be a deterministic real diagonal matrix satisfying Assumption 2.2 and Assumption 2.3. Set $H = V + W$. Let E, E' be two energies satisfying $E \in (L_-, L_+)$, $E' \in (-2, 2)$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let O be an n-particle observable. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary and choose $b \equiv b_N$ such that $N^{-\delta} \geq b_N \geq N^{-1+\delta}$. Then,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{d}\alpha_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\alpha_n \, O(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \bigg[\frac{1}{2b} \int_{E-b}^{E+b} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\rho_{fc}(E)^n} \varrho^N_{H,n}\Big(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{fc}(E)N}, \ldots, x + \frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{fc}(E)N}\Big) - \frac{1}{\rho_{sc}(E')^n} \varrho^N_{G,n}\Big(E' + \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{sc}(E')N}, \ldots, E' + \frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{sc}(E')N}\Big) \bigg] = 0 \,, \quad (2.15)$$

where ρ_{fc} denotes the density of the deformed semicircle law and ρ_{sc} denotes the density of the standard semicircle law. Here, $\varrho^N_{G,n}$ denotes the n-point correlation function of the GUE in case W is a complex Hermitian Wigner matrix, respectively the n-point correlation function of the GOE in case W is a real symmetric Wigner matrix.

For random V we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let W be a complex Hermitian or a real symmetric Wigner matrix satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Let V be a random real diagonal matrix whose entries are i.i.d. random variables that are independent of W and satisfy Assumption 2.2 and Assumption 2.3. Set $H = V + W$. Let E, E' be two energies satisfying $E \in (L_-, L_+)$, $E' \in (-2, 2)$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let O be an n-particle observable. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary and choose $b \equiv b_N$ such that $N^{-\delta} \geq b_N \geq N^{-1/2+\delta}$. Then,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{d}\alpha_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\alpha_n \, O(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \bigg[\frac{1}{2b} \int_{E-b}^{E+b} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\rho_{fc}(E)^n} \varrho^N_{H,n}\Big(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{fc}(E)N}, \ldots, x + \frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{fc}(E)N}\Big) - \frac{1}{\rho_{sc}(E')^n} \varrho^N_{G,n}\Big(E' + \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{sc}(E')N}, \ldots, E' + \frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{sc}(E')N}\Big) \bigg] = 0 \,, \quad (2.16)$$

where ρ_{fc} denotes the density of the deformed semicircle law and ρ_{sc} denotes the density of the standard semicircle law. Here, $\varrho^N_{G,n}$ denotes the n-point correlation function of the GUE in case W is a complex Hermitian Wigner matrix, respectively the n-point correlation function of the GOE in case W is a real symmetric Wigner matrix.

Remark 2.7. Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 show that the averaged local correlation functions of $H = V+W$ are universal in the limit of large N in the sense that they are independent of the diagonal matrix V and also independent of the precise distribution of the entries of W. Both theorems hold for real symmetric and complex Hermitian matrices. For the former choice, $\varrho^N_{G,n}$ stands for the n-point correlation functions of the GOE. For the latter choice, $\varrho^N_{G,n}$ stands for the n-point correlation functions of the GUE.

Note that we can choose b_N of order $N^{-1+\delta}$, $\delta > 0$, for deterministic V in Theorem 2.5, while we have to choose b_N of order $N^{-1/2+\delta}$, $\delta > 0$, for random V in Theorem 2.6. The latter condition is technical and not optimal. It is related to our next comment.

For random V with (v_i) i.i.d. bounded random variables, the eigenvalues of H fluctuate on scale $N^{-1/2}$ in the bulk [37]. Yet, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6, the eigenvalue gaps remain rigid over small scales so that the universality of local correlation functions, a statement about the eigenvalue gaps, is unaffected by these mesoscopic fluctuations. We thus expect Theorem 2.6 to hold with $b_N \gg N^{-1}$. Relying on explicit integration formulas in the complex Hermitian setting, we suppose that the averaging over an energy window can be dropped, c.f., the results for the deformed GUE in [43].

We also remark that the assumption that (v_i) are independent among themselves can be relaxed and our results can be extended to dependent random variables provided that (v_i) satisfy (2.6), (2.11) and (2.12) for some constants $\alpha_0, \varpi, \mathfrak{t} > 0$, and provided that (v_i) are independent of (w_{ij}). In such a setting the required lower bound on b_N depends on α_0.

Remark 2.8. The main ingredient of our proofs of Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 is an entropy estimate; see Proposition 5.3. Once such an estimate is obtained, the method in [30] also implies the single gap universality in the sense that the distribution of any single gap in the bulk is the same (up to a scaling) as the one from the corresponding Gaussian case. More precisely, fix $\alpha > 0$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\alpha N \le k \le (1-\alpha)N$. Let O be an n-particle observable. Then there are $\chi > 0$ and C such that

$$\Big|\mathbb{E}^H O\big((N\rho_{fc,k})(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}), (N\rho_{fc,k})(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+2}), \dots, (N\rho_{fc,k})(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+n})\big) - \mathbb{E}^{\mu_G} O\big((N\rho_{sc,k})(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}), (N\rho_{sc,k})(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+2}), \dots, (N\rho_{sc,k})(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+n})\big)\Big| \le CN^{-\chi}, \tag{2.17}$$

for N sufficiently large, where μ_G is the standard GOE or GUE ensemble, depending on the symmetry class of H. Here $\rho_{fc,k}$ stands for the density of the measure ρ_{fc} at the classical location γ_k of the k-th eigenvalue defined through

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\gamma_k} \rho_{fc}(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{k-1/2}{N}. \tag{2.18}$$

Similarly, $\rho_{sc,k}$ stands for the density of the standard semicircle law ρ_{sc} at the classical location γ_k of the k-th eigenvalue of the Gaussian ensembles.

Remark 2.9. In addition to the bulk universality, the edge universality also holds for our model. More precisely, there are $\varkappa > 0, \chi > 0, c_0 > 0, C > 0$ such that the following result holds for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any n-particle observable O and $\Lambda \subset [\![1, N^{\varkappa}]\!]$ with $|\Lambda| = n$, we have

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^H O\left(\Big(c_0 N^{2/3} j^{1/3}(\lambda_j - \widehat{\gamma}_j)\Big)_{j\in\Lambda}\right) - \mathbb{E}^{\mu_G} O\left(\Big(N^{2/3} j^{1/3}(\lambda_j - \gamma_j)\Big)_{j\in\Lambda}\right)\right| \le CN^{-\chi}, \tag{2.19}$$

for N sufficiently large, where μ_G is the standard GOE or GUE ensemble, depending on the symmetry class of H. Here the constant c_0 is a scaling factor so that the eigenvalue density at the edge of H can be compared with the Gaussian case. It only depends on λ and ν. Further, $\widehat{\gamma}_j$, γ_j denote here the classical locations of the j-th eigenvalue with respect to the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}$ introduced in (3.7) below, respectively with respect to the standard semicircle law ρ_{sc}. This result follows from Proposition 5.3 and [12]. We leave the details to the interested readers.

2.4. **Notations and Conventions.** In this subsection, we introduce some more notations and conventions used throughout the paper. For high probability estimates we use two parameters $\xi \equiv \xi_N$ and $\varphi \equiv \varphi_N$: We let

$$a_0 < \xi \le A_0 \log\log N, \qquad \varphi = (\log N)^{C_1}, \tag{2.20}$$

for some constants $a_0 > 2$, $A_0 \ge 10$, $C_1 > 1$.

Definition 2.10. *We say an event Ω has (ξ, υ)-high probability, if*

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega^c) \le \mathrm{e}^{-\upsilon(\log N)^{\xi}}, \qquad (\upsilon > 0),$$

for N sufficiently large. We say an event Ω has ς-exponentially high probability, if

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega^c) \le \mathrm{e}^{-N^{\varsigma}}, \qquad (\varsigma > 0),$$

for N sufficiently large. Similarly, for a given event Ω_0 we say an event Ω holds with (ξ, υ)-high probability, respectively ς-exponentially high probability, on Ω_0, if

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega^c \cap \Omega_0) \le \mathrm{e}^{-\upsilon(\log N)^\xi}, \qquad (\upsilon > 0), \qquad \mathbb{P}(\Omega^c \cap \Omega_0) \le \mathrm{e}^{-N^\varsigma}, \qquad (\varsigma > 0),$$

respectively, for N sufficiently large.

For brevity, we occasionally say an event holds with exponentially high probability, when we mean ς-exponentially high probability. We do not keep track of the explicit value of υ or ς in the following, allowing υ and ς to decrease from line to line such that $\upsilon, \varsigma > 0$.

We use the symbols $\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$ and $o(\cdot)$ for the standard big-O and little-o notation. The notations $\mathcal{O}$, o, $\ll$, $\gg$, refer to the limit $N \to \infty$, if not indicated otherwise. Here $a \ll b$ means $a = o(b)$. We use c and C to denote positive constants that do not depend on N. Their value may change from line to line. We write $a \sim b$, if there is $C \ge 1$ such that $C^{-1}|b| \le |a| \le C|b|$, and, occasionally, we write for N-dependent quantities $a_N \lesssim b_N$, if there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that $|a_N| \le C(\varphi_N)^{c\xi}|b_N|$.

Finally, we abbreviate

$$\sum_j^{(i)}(\cdot) \equiv \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{N}(\cdot),$$

and we use double brackets to denote index sets, i.e.,

$$[\![n_1, n_2]\!] := [n_1, n_2] \cap \mathbb{Z},$$

for $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. Local law and rigidity estimates

Recall the constant $\varpi > 0$ in Assumption 2.3. Set $\varpi' := \varpi/10$. In this section we consider the family of interpolating random matrices

$$H^\vartheta := \vartheta V + W, \qquad \vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi := [0, 1 + \varpi'], \tag{3.1}$$

where V and W are chosen to satisfy Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3, respectively the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Here ϑ has the interpretation of a possibly N-dependent positive "coupling parameter".

We define the resolvent or *Green function*, $G^\vartheta(z)$, and the averaged Green function, $m^\vartheta(z)$, of H^ϑ by

$$G^\vartheta(z) = (G^\vartheta_{ij}(z)) := \frac{1}{\vartheta V + W - z}, \qquad m^\vartheta_N(z) := \frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Tr} G^\vartheta(z), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+). \tag{3.2}$$

Frequently, we abbreviate $G^\vartheta \equiv G^\vartheta(z)$, $m^\vartheta_N \equiv m^\vartheta_N(z)$, etc..

To conveniently cope with the cases when (v_i) are random, respectively deterministic, we introduce an event Ω_V on which the random variables (v_i) exhibit "typical" behavior. Recall that we denote by $m_{\widehat{\nu}}$ and m_ν the Stieltjes transforms of $\widehat{\nu}$, respectively ν.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\Omega_V \equiv \Omega_V(N)$ be an event such that the following holds on it:*

1. *There is a constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that, for any compact set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ with $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{D}, \operatorname{supp}\nu) > 0$, there is C such that*

$$|m_{\widehat{\nu}}(z) - m_\nu(z)| \le CN^{-\alpha_0}, \tag{3.3}$$

   for N sufficiently large.
2. *Recall the constant $\varpi > 0$ in Assumption 2.3. We have*

$$\inf_{x \in I_{\widehat{\nu}}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\nu}(v)}{(v-x)^2} \ge 1 + \varpi, \qquad \inf_{x \in I_\nu} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{(v-x)^2} \ge 1 + \varpi, \tag{3.4}$$

   for N sufficiently large.

In case (v_i) are deterministic, Ω_V has full probability for N sufficiently large by Assumptions 2.2.

Similar to the definition of m_{fc}, we define m^ϑ_{fc} and $\widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}$ as the solutions to the equations

$$m^\vartheta_{fc}(z) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{\vartheta v - z - m^\vartheta_{fc}(z)}\,, \qquad \operatorname{Im} m^\vartheta_{fc}(z) \geq 0\,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}(z) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\nu}(v)}{\vartheta v - z - \widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}(z)}\,, \qquad \operatorname{Im} \widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}(z) \geq 0\,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+)\,, \tag{3.6}$$

respectively. Following the discussion of Subsection 2.2, m^ϑ_{fc} and $\widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}$ define two probability measures ρ^ϑ_{fc} and $\widehat{\rho}^\vartheta_{fc}$ through the densities

$$\rho^\vartheta_{fc}(E) := \lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} m^\vartheta_{fc}(E + \mathrm{i}\eta)\,, \qquad \widehat{\rho}^\vartheta_{fc}(E) := \lim_{\eta \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}(E + \mathrm{i}\eta)\,, \qquad (E \in \mathbb{R})\,, \tag{3.7}$$

c.f., (2.7). More precisely, we have the following result which follows directly from the proof of Lemma 3.5 and of Lemma 3.6. Recall the definition of Θ_ϖ in (3.1).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\widehat{\nu}$ and ν satisfy the Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Then, for any $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, Equations* (3.5) *and* (3.6) *define through the inversion formulas in* (3.7) *absolutely continuous measures ρ^ϑ_{fc} and $\widehat{\rho}^\vartheta_{fc}$. Moreover, the measure ρ^ϑ_{fc} is supported on a single interval with strictly positive density inside this interval. The same holds true on Ω_V for the measures $\widehat{\rho}^\vartheta_{fc}$, for N sufficiently large.*

Note that if (v_i) are random then so are $\widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}$, respectively $\widehat{\rho}^\vartheta_{fc}$. We use the symbol $\widehat{\ }$ to denote quantities that depend on the empirical distribution $\widehat{\nu}$ of the (v_i) while we drop this symbol for quantities depending on the limiting distribution ν of (v_i).

We denote by $\widehat{L}^\vartheta_\pm$, respectively $L^\vartheta_\pm$, the endpoints of the support of $\widehat{\rho}^\vartheta_{fc}$, respectively ρ^ϑ_{fc}. Let $E_0 \geq 1 + \max\{|L^1_-|, L^1_+\}$ and define the domain

$$\mathcal{D}_L := \{z = E + \mathrm{i}\eta \in \mathbb{C} : |E| \leq E_0\,, (\varphi_N)^L \leq N\eta \leq 3N\}\,, \tag{3.8}$$

with $L \equiv L(N)$, such that $L \geq 12\xi$; see (2.20).

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. [Strong local deformed semicircle law] *Let $H^\vartheta = \vartheta V + W$, $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$ (see* (3.1)*), where W is a real symmetric or complex Hermitian Wigner matrix satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1 and V is a deterministic or random real diagonal matrix satisfying Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Let*

$$\xi = \frac{A_0 + o(1)}{2} \log\log N\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Then there are constants $\upsilon > 0$ and c_1, depending on the constants E_0 in (3.8)*, α_0 in* (3.3)*, A_0, a_0, C_1 in* (2.20)*, θ, C_0 in* (2.3) *and the measure $\widehat{\nu}$ such that the following holds for $L \geq 40\xi$. For any $z \in \mathcal{D}_L$ and any $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$, we have*

$$\left|m^\vartheta_N(z) - \widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}(z)\right| \leq (\varphi_N)^{c_1\xi} \frac{1}{N\eta}\,, \tag{3.10}$$

with (ξ, υ)-high probability on Ω_V.

Moreover, we have, for any $z \in \mathcal{D}_L$ and any $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$,

$$\left|G^\vartheta_{ij}(z) - \delta_{ij}\widehat{g}^\vartheta_i(z)\right| \leq (\varphi_N)^{c_1\xi} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im} \widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}(z)}{N\eta}} + \frac{1}{N\eta}\right)\,, \qquad (i, j \in [\![1, N]\!])\,, \tag{3.11}$$

with (ξ, υ)-high probability on Ω_V, where we have set

$$\widehat{g}^\vartheta_i(z) := \frac{1}{\vartheta v_i - z - \widehat{m}^\vartheta_{fc}(z)}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

The study of local laws for Wigner matrices was initiated in [24, 25, 26]. For more recent results, we refer to [22]. For deformed Wigner matrices with random potential a local law was obtained in [37].

Denote by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^\vartheta = (\lambda_1^\vartheta, \lambda_2^\vartheta, \dots, \lambda_N^\vartheta)$ the eigenvalues of the random matrix $H^\vartheta = \vartheta V + W$ arranged in ascending order. We define the classical location, $\widehat{\gamma}_i^\vartheta$, of the eigenvalue λ_i^ϑ by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\widehat{\gamma}_i^\vartheta} \widehat{\rho}_{fc}^\vartheta(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{i-\frac{1}{2}}{N}\,, \qquad (1 \le i \le N)\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that $(\widehat{\gamma}_i^\vartheta)$ are random in case (v_i) are. We have the following rigidity result on the eigenvalue locations of H^ϑ:

Corollary 3.4. *Let $H^\vartheta = \vartheta V + W$, $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$, where W is a real symmetric or complex Hermitian Wigner matrix satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1 and V is a deterministic or random real diagonal matrix satisfying Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Let ξ satisfy* (3.9)*. Then there are constants $\upsilon > 0$ and c_1, c_2, depending on the constants E_0 in* (3.8)*, α_0 in* (3.3)*, A_0, a_0, C_1 in* (2.20)*, θ, C_0 in* (2.3) *and the measure $\widehat{\nu}$, such that*

$$|\lambda_i^\vartheta - \widehat{\gamma}_i^\vartheta| \le (\varphi_N)^{c_1\xi}\frac{1}{N^{2/3}\widehat{\alpha}_i^{1/3}}\,, \qquad (1 \le i \le N)\,, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |\lambda_i^\vartheta - \widehat{\gamma}_i^\vartheta|^2 \le (\varphi_N)^{c_2\xi}\frac{1}{N}\,, \tag{3.15}$$

with (ξ, υ)-high probability on Ω_V, for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$, where we have abbreviated $\widehat{\alpha}_i := \min\{i, N-i\}$.

In the rest of this section we sum up the proofs of Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4.

3.1. **Properties of m_{fc}^ϑ and $\widehat{m}_{fc}^\vartheta$.** In this subsection, we discuss properties of the Stieltjes transforms m_{fc}^ϑ and $\widehat{m}_{fc}^\vartheta$. We first derive the desired properties for m_{fc}^ϑ (Lemma 3.5 and Corollary A.2 in the Appendix) and then show in a second step that m_{fc}^ϑ is a good approximation to $\widehat{m}_{fc}^\vartheta$ so that $\widehat{m}_{fc}^\vartheta$ also shares these properties; see Lemma 3.6.

For E_0 as in (3.16), we define the domain, $\mathcal{D}'$, of the spectral parameter z by

$$\mathcal{D}' := \{z = E + \mathrm{i}\eta \,:\, E \in [-E_0, E_0]\,,\, \eta \in (0, 3]\}\,. \tag{3.16}$$

The next lemma, whose proof is postponed to the appendix, gives a qualitative description of the deformed semicircle law ρ_{fc}^ϑ and its Stieltjes transform m_{fc}^ϑ.

Lemma 3.5. *Let ν satisfy Assumption 2.3, for some $\varpi > 0$. Then the following holds true for any $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$. There are $L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, with $L_-^\vartheta < 0 < L_+^\vartheta$, such that* $\operatorname{supp}\rho_{fc}^\vartheta = [L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta]$ *and there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that, for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$,*

$$C^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa_E} \le \rho_{fc}^\vartheta(E) \le C\sqrt{\kappa_E}\,, \qquad (E \in [L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta])\,, \tag{3.17}$$

where κ_E denotes the distance of E to the endpoints of the support of ρ_{fc}^ϑ, i.e.,

$$\kappa_E := \min\{|E - L_-^\vartheta|,\, |E - L_+^\vartheta|\}\,. \tag{3.18}$$

The Stieltjes transform, m_{fc}^ϑ, of ρ_{fc}^ϑ has the following properties,

(1) *for all $z = E + \mathrm{i}\eta \in \mathcal{D}'$,*

$$\operatorname{Im} m_{fc}^\vartheta(z) \sim \begin{cases} \sqrt{\kappa+\eta}\,, & E \in [L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta] \\ \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\kappa+\eta}}\,, & E \in [L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta]^c\,; \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

(2) *there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for all $z \in \mathcal{D}'$ and all $x \in I_\nu$,*

$$C^{-1} \le |\vartheta x - z - m_{fc}^\vartheta(z)| \le C\,. \tag{3.20}$$

Moreover, the constants in (3.17)*,* (3.19) *and* (3.20) *can be chosen uniformly in $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$.*

Next, we argue that $\widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}$ behaves qualitatively in the same way as m^{ϑ}_{fc} on Ω_V for N sufficiently large. Lemma 3.6 below is proven in the Appendix.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\widehat{\nu}$ satisfy Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3, for some $\varpi > 0$. Then the following holds for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$ and all sufficiently large N on Ω_V. There are $\widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_-, \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_+ \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_- < 0 < \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_+$, such that* $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\rho}^{\vartheta}_{fc} = [\widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_-, \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_+]$. *Let $\widehat{\kappa}_E := \min\{|E - \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_-|, |E - \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_+|\}$, then (3.17), (3.19) and (3.20) of Lemma 3.5, hold true on Ω_V, for N sufficiently large, with m^{ϑ}_{fc} replaced by $\widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}$, ρ^{ϑ}_{fc} replaced by $\widehat{\rho}^{\vartheta}_{fc}$, etc.. Moreover, the constants in these inequalities can be chosen uniformly in $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$ and N, for N sufficiently large.*

Further, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathcal{D}'$ we have

$$|\widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}(z) - m^{\vartheta}_{fc}(z)| \le N^{-c\alpha_0/2}\,, \qquad |\widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{\pm} - L^{\vartheta}_{\pm}| \le N^{-c\alpha_0}\,, \tag{3.21}$$

on Ω_V for N sufficiently large and all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4.** The proof of Theorem 3.3 follows closely the proof of Theorem 2.10 in [37]. The difference between Theorem 3.3 of the present paper and Theorem 2.10 in [37] is that we presently condition on the diagonal entries (v_i), i.e., we consider the entries of V as fixed. Accordingly, we compare (on the event Ω_V of typical (v_i)) the averaged Green function m^{ϑ} with $\widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}$ (see (3.6)) instead of m^{ϑ}_{fc} (see (3.5)). For consistency, we momentarily drop the ϑ dependence form our notation. To establish Theorem 3.3, we first derive a weak local deformed semicircle law (see Theorem 4.1 in [37]) by following the proof in [37]. Using the Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6 and the results in the Appendix, it is then straightforward to obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *Under the assumption of Theorem 3.3, there are c_1 and $\upsilon > 0$ such that*

$$|m_N(z) - \widehat{m}_{fc}(z)| \le (\varphi_N)^{c_1\xi}\frac{1}{(N\eta)^{1/3}}\,, \qquad |G_{ij}(z)| \le (\varphi_N)^{c_1\xi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N\eta}}\,,$$

with (ξ, υ)-high probability on Ω_V, uniformly in $z \in \mathcal{D}_L$ and $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$.

To prove Theorem 3.3 we follow mutatis mutandis the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [37]. But we note that in the corresponding equation to (5.25) in [37], we may set $\lambda = 0$ in the error term, at the cost of replacing m_{fc} by $\widehat{m}_{fc}$. In the subsequent analysis, we can simply set $\lambda = 0$ in the error terms. In this way, one establishes the proof of Theorem 3.3. Similarly, Corollary 3.4 can be proven in the same way as is Theorem 2.21 in [37]. It suffices to set $\lambda = 0$ in the analysis in [37]. We leave the details aside.

4. Reference β-ensemble

4.1. **Definition of β-ensemble and known results.** We first recall the notion of β-ensembles. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{F}^{(N)} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ denote the set

$$\mathcal{F}^{(N)} := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \,:\, x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \le x_N\}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Consider the probability distribution on $\mathcal{F}^{(N)}$ given by

$$\mu^N_U(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mu_U(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{Z^N_U}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta N\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,, \qquad \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} := \mathbb{1}(\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}^{(N)})\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\cdots\mathrm{d}x_N\,, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\beta > 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2}\left(U(x_i) + \frac{x_i^2}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N}\log(x_j - x_i)$$

and $Z^N_U \equiv Z^N_U(\beta)$ is a normalization. Here U is a potential, i.e., a real-valued, sufficiently regular function on $\mathbb{R}$. In the following, we often omit the parameters N and β from the notation. We use $\mathbb{P}^{\mu_U}$ and $\mathbb{E}^{\mu_U}$ to denote the probability and the expectation with respect to μ_U. We view μ_U as a Gibbs measure of N particles on $\mathbb{R}$ with a logarithmic interaction, where the parameter $\beta > 0$ may be interpreted as the inverse temperature. (For the results in the present paper, we choose $\beta = 2$ in case W is complex hermitian Wigner matrix, or $\beta = 1$ in case W is a real symmetric Wigner matrix.) We refer to the variables (x_i) as particles or

points and we call the system a log-gas or a β-ensemble. We assume that the potential U is a C^4 function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that its second derivative is bounded below, i.e., we have

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} U''(x) \geq -2C_U\,, \tag{4.3}$$

for some constant $C_U \geq 0$, and we further assume that

$$U(x) + \frac{x^2}{2} > (2+\epsilon)\log(1+|x|)\,, \qquad (x\in\mathbb{R})\,, \tag{4.4}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$, for large enough $|x|$. It is well-known, see, e.g., [13], that under these conditions the measure is normalizable, $Z_U^N < \infty$. Moreover, the averaged density of the empirical spectral measure, ρ_U^N, defined as

$$\rho_U^N := \mathbb{E}^{\mu_U}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}\,, \tag{4.5}$$

converges weakly in the limit $N\to\infty$ to a continuous function ρ_U, the equilibrium density, with compact support. It is well-known that ρ_U can be obtained as the unique solution to the variational problem

$$\inf\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{x^2}{2}+U(x)\right)\mathrm{d}\rho(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}}\log|x-y|\,\mathrm{d}\rho(x)\mathrm{d}\rho(y)\ :\ \rho \text{ is a probability measure}\right\} \tag{4.6}$$

and that the equilibrium density $\rho = \rho_U$ satisfies

$$U'(x) + x = -2\fint_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\rho(y)\mathrm{d}y}{y-x}\,, \qquad (x\in\operatorname{supp}\rho_U)\,. \tag{4.7}$$

In fact, (4.7) holds if and only if $x\in\operatorname{supp}\rho_U$. We will assume in addition that the minimizer ρ_U is supported on a single interval $[A_-, A_+]$, and that U is "regular" in the sense of [35], i.e., the equilibrium density of U is positive on (A_-, A_+) and vanishes like a square root at each of the endpoints of $[A_-, A_+]$. Viewing the points $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)$ as points or particles on $\mathbb{R}$, we define the classical location of the k-particle, γ_k, under the β-ensemble μ_U by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\gamma_k}\rho_U(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{k-\frac{1}{2}}{N}\,. \tag{4.8}$$

For a detailed discussion of general β-ensemble we refer, e.g., to [1, 12].

For $U\equiv 0$, we write μ_G instead of μ_0, since μ_0 is the equilibrium measure for the GUE ($\beta = 2$), respectively the GOE ($\beta = 1$). More precisely, setting

$$\mathcal{H}_G(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{4}x_i^2 - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N}\log(x_j - x_i)\,, \tag{4.9}$$

the GUE, respectively GOE, distribution on $\mathbb{R}^N$ are given by

$$\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z_G^N}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta N\mathcal{H}_G(\mathbf{x})}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,, \tag{4.10}$$

where $Z_G^N \equiv Z_G^N(\beta)$ is a normalization, and we either choose $\beta = 2$ or $\beta = 1$.

We are interested in the n-point correlation functions defined by

$$\varrho_{U,n}^N(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-n}}\mu_U^{\#}(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}x_{n+1}\cdots\mathrm{d}x_N, \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mu_U^{\#}$ is the symmetrized version of μ_U given in (4.2) but defined on $\mathbb{R}^N$ instead of the simplex $\mathbb{R}^{(N)}$:

$$\mu_U^{\#}(\mathrm{d}x) = \frac{1}{N!}\mu_U(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}^{(\sigma)})\,, \tag{4.12}$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{(\sigma)} = (x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(N)})$, with $x_{\sigma(1)} < \cdots < x_{\sigma(N)}$, and $\mathrm{d}x = \mathrm{d}x_1\cdots\mathrm{d}x_N$.

The following universality result is proven in [12].

Theorem 4.1. [Bulk universality for β-ensembles, Theorem 2.1 in [12]] *Let U be a C^4 regular potential with equilibrium density supported on a single interval $[A_-, A_+]$ that satisfies (4.3) and (4.4). Then the following result holds. For any fixed $\beta > 0$, $E \in (A_-, A_+)$, $|E'| < 2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and any n-particle observable O we have with $b := N^{-1+\delta}$,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{d}\alpha_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\alpha_n \, O(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \left[\int_{E-b}^{E+b} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2b} \frac{1}{\rho_U(E)^n} \varrho_{U,n}^N \left(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{N\rho_U(E)}, \ldots, x + \frac{\alpha_n}{N\rho_U(E)} \right) \right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{1}{\rho_{sc}(E')^n} \varrho_{G,n}^N \left(E' + \frac{\alpha_1}{N\rho_{sc}(E')}, \ldots, E' + \frac{\alpha_n}{N\rho_{sc}(E')} \right) \right] = 0 \,.$$

Here $\rho_{sc}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4 - E^2}$ is Wigner's semicircle law and $(\varrho_{G,n}^N)$ are the correlation functions of the Gaussian β-ensemble, i.e., with $U \equiv 0$.

Theorem 4.1 was first proved in [10] under the assumption that U is analytic, a hypothesis that was only required for proving rigidity. The analyticity assumption has been removed in [12]. Recently, alternative proofs of bulk universality for β-ensembles with general $\beta > 0$, i.e., results similar to Theorem 4.1, have been obtained in [42] and [4]. In the present paper, we will not use Theorem 4.1. It is stated here for completeness.

To conclude this subsection, we recall an important tool in the study of β-ensembles, the "first order loop" equation. In the notation above it reads (in the limit $N \to \infty$)

$$m_U(z)^2 = \int \frac{x + U'(x)}{x - z} \, \rho_U(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+) \,, \tag{4.13}$$

where m_U denotes the Stieltjes transform of the equilibrium measure ρ_U, i.e.,

$$m_U(z) = \int \frac{\rho_U(x)}{x - z} \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+) \,.$$

The loop equation (4.13) can be obtained by a change of variables in (4.2) (see [33]) or by integration by parts (see [41]).

4.2. **Time-dependent modified β-ensemble.** In this subsection, we introduce a modified β-ensemble by specifying potentials $\widehat{U}$ and U that depend, among other things, on a parameter $t \geq 0$ which has the interpretation of a time. The potential $\widehat{U}$ also depends on N, the size of our original matrix $H = V + W$. Yet, the N dependence in only through the fixed random variables (v_i). Recall that we have defined $\widehat{m}_{fc}^{\vartheta}$, respectively m_{fc}^{ϑ}, as the solutions to the equations

$$\widehat{m}_{fc}^{\vartheta}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\vartheta v_i - z - \widehat{m}_{fc}^{\vartheta}(z)} \,, \qquad m_{fc}^{\vartheta}(z) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{\vartheta v - z - m_{fc}^{\vartheta}(z)} \,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+) \,, \tag{4.14}$$

subject to the conditions $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{m}_{fc}^{\vartheta}(z)$, $\operatorname{Im} m_{fc}^{\vartheta}(z) \geq 0$, for $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$. Recall from (3.1) that we denote $\Theta_\varpi = [0, 1 + \varpi']$, $\varpi' = \varpi/10$. We then fix some $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{e}^{t_0/2} \in \Theta_\varpi$ and let

$$\vartheta \equiv \vartheta(t) := \mathrm{e}^{-(t-t_0)/2} \,, \qquad (t \geq 0) \,. \tag{4.15}$$

In the following we consider $t \geq 0$ as time and we henceforth abbreviate $m_{fc}^{\vartheta(t)}(z) \equiv m_{fc}(t, z)$, etc.. Equation (4.14) defines time-dependent measures $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$, $\rho_{fc}(t)$ respectively, whose densities at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ are denoted by $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t, x)$, respectively $\rho_{fc}(t, x)$.

We denote by $\widehat{U}'(t, x)$, $\widehat{U}^{(n)}(t, x)$ the first, respectively the n-th derivative of $\widehat{U}(t, x)$ with respect to x, and we use the same notation for U. We define $\widehat{U}$ and U (up to finite additive constants that enter the formalism only in normalizations) through their derivatives $\widehat{U}'$ and U'. For $t \geq 0$, we set

$$\widehat{U}'(t, x) + x := -2 \fint_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t, y)}{y - x} \, \mathrm{d}y \,, \qquad U'(t, x) + x := -2 \fint_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho_{fc}(t, y)}{y - x} \, \mathrm{d}y \,, \tag{4.16}$$

for $x \in \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$, respectively $x \in \operatorname{supp} \rho_{fc}(t)$. Outside the support of the measures $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ and $\rho_{fc}(t)$, we define $\widehat{U}'$ and U' as C^3 extensions such that they are "regular" potentials satisfying (4.3) and (4.4) for all

$t \geq 0$. The definitions of such potentials are obviously not unique. One possible construction is outlined in the Appendix in the form of the proof of the next lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists potentials $\widehat{U}, U : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $(t,x) \mapsto \widehat{U}(t,x)$, $U(t,x)$ such that for $n \in [\![1,4]\!]$, $\widehat{U}^{(n)}(t,x)$, $U^{(n)}(t,x)$, $\partial_t \widehat{U}^{(n)}(t,x)$, $\partial_t U^{(n)}(t,x)$ are continuous functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, which can be uniformly bounded in x on compact sets, uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and sufficiently large N. Moreover the following holds for all $t \geq 0$ on Ω_V for N sufficiently large:*

(1) *$\widehat{U}'(t,x)$ and $U'(t,x)$ satisfy (4.16) for $x \in \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$, respectively $x \in \operatorname{supp} \rho_{fc}(t)$. For $x \notin \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$, respectively $x \notin \operatorname{supp} \rho_{fc}(t)$ we have*

$$|\widehat{U}'(t,x) + x| > 2|\operatorname{Re} \widehat{m}_{fc}(t,x)|\,, \qquad |U'(t,x) + x| > 2|\operatorname{Re} m_{fc}(t,x)|\,. \tag{4.17}$$

(2) *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq 0$ we have*

$$|\widehat{U}'(t,x) - U'(t,x)| \leq N^{-c\alpha_0/2}\,, \tag{4.18}$$

where $\alpha_0 > 0$ is the constant in (3.3).

(3) *The potentials $\widehat{U}$ and U satisfy (4.3) and (4.4). In particular, there is $C_U \geq 0$ (independent of N), such that*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \widehat{U}''(t,x) \geq -2C_U\,, \qquad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+} U''(t,x) \geq -2C_U\,. \tag{4.19}$$

Moreover, $\widehat{U}$ and U are "regular" (see the paragraph below (4.7) for the definition of "regular" potential).

Below, we are mainly interested in β-ensembles determined by the potential $\widehat{U}$. For ease of notation we thus limit the discussion to $\widehat{U}$.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a measure on $I\!R^{(N)}$, by

$$\widehat{\psi}_t(\mathbf{x})\, \mu_G(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{Z_{\widehat{\psi}_t}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\beta N}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}(t,x_i)} \mu_G(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})\,, \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in I\!R^{(N)})\,, \tag{4.20}$$

where $Z_{\widehat{\psi}_t} \equiv Z_{\widehat{\psi}_t}(\beta)$ is a normalization and we usually choose $\beta = 1, 2$. By Lemma 4.2, $\widehat{\psi}_t\, \mu_G$ is a well-defined β-ensemble and from the discussion in Subsection 4.1 we further infer that the equilibrium density of $\widehat{\psi}_t\, \mu_G$, i.e., the unique measure solving the minimization problem in (4.6), is, for any $t \geq 0$, $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$. Viewing $\widehat{\psi}_t\, \mu_G$ as a Gibbs measure of N (ordered) particles (x_i) on the real line, we define the classical location of the i-th particles, $\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)$, as in (4.8), i.e.,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)} \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,x)\, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{i - \frac{1}{2}}{N}\,, \qquad (i \in [\![1,N]\!])\,. \tag{4.21}$$

From [12] we have the following rigidity result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\widehat{U}(t,\cdot)$, with $t \geq 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be given by Lemma 4.2. Then the following holds on Ω_V. For any $\delta > 0$, there is $\varsigma > 0$, such that for any $t \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\, \mu_G}\left(|x_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i(t)| > N^{-\frac{2}{3}+\delta} \widehat{\alpha}_i^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-N^\varsigma}\,, \qquad (1 \leq i \leq N)\,, \tag{4.22}$$

for N sufficiently large, where $\mathbb{P}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\, \mu_G}$, stands for the probability under $\widehat{\psi}_t\, \mu_G$ conditioned on V. Here, $\widehat{\alpha}_i := \min\{i, N-i\}$.

Proof. The rigidity estimate (4.22) is taken from Theorem 2.4 of [12]. To achieve uniformity in $t \geq 0$ and N sufficiently large, we note that the estimate (4.22) depends on the potential mainly through the convexity bounds (4.3) and (4.4). Starting from the uniform bounds of Lemma 4.2, one checks that Proposition 4.3 holds uniformly in t and N large enough. □

In the rest of this section, we derive equations of motion for the potential $\widehat{U}(t,\cdot)$ and the classical locations $(\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))$. To derive these equations we observe that the Stieltjes transform $\widehat{m}_{fc}(t,z)$ can be obtained from $\widehat{m}_{fc}(t=0,z)$ as the solution to the following complex Burger equation [40],

$$\partial_t \widehat{m}_{fc}(t,z) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_z \left[\widehat{m}_{fc}(t,z)\left(\widehat{m}_{fc}(t,z)+z\right)\right], \qquad (z\in\mathbb{C}^+, t\geq 0). \tag{4.23}$$

This can be checked by differentiating (4.14). Combining the complex Burger equation (4.23) and the loop equation (4.13) we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $N\in\mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\widehat{\nu}$ satisfies the Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Then the following holds on Ω_V for N sufficiently large. For $t\geq 0$, we have*

$$\partial_t \widehat{\gamma}_i(t) = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{U}'(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)), \tag{4.24}$$

respectively,

$$\partial_t \widehat{\gamma}_i(t) = -\fint_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y)}{y-\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)}\,\mathrm{d}y - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\gamma}_i(t), \qquad (i\in[\![1,N]\!]). \tag{4.25}$$

Further, the potential $\widehat{U}$ satisfies,

$$\partial_t \widehat{U}(t,x) = \fint_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{U}'(t,y)\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y)}{y-x}\,\mathrm{d}y, \qquad (x\in\operatorname{supp}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)). \tag{4.26}$$

Moreover, there exist constants C, C' such that the following bounds hold on Ω_V,

$$|\partial_t\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)|\leq C, \qquad |\partial_t\widehat{U}(t,x)|\leq C', \tag{4.27}$$

for all $i\in[\![1,N]\!]$, uniformly in $t\geq 0$, $x\in\operatorname{supp}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ and N, for N sufficiently large.

Finally, $U(t,\cdot)$ and $(\gamma_i(t))$, share the same properties.

Proof. Combining (4.23) and (4.13), we find, for $z\in\mathbb{C}^+$, $t\geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\widehat{m}_{fc}(t,z) &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_z\left(-\int\frac{v+\widehat{U}'(t,v)}{v-z}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)\,\mathrm{d}v + z\int\frac{\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)}{v-z}\,\mathrm{d}v\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\partial_z\left(-\int\frac{\widehat{U}'(t,v)}{v-z}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)\,\mathrm{d}v - 1\right)\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_z\int\frac{\widehat{U}'(t,v)}{v-z}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)\,\mathrm{d}v.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\operatorname{Im} z>0$, we get

$$\partial_t\widehat{m}_{fc}(t,z) = -\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{\widehat{U}'(t,v)}{(v-z)^2}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)\,\mathrm{d}v = -\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{\left(\widehat{U}'(t,v)\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)\right)'}{(v-z)}\,\mathrm{d}v.$$

Clearly $\widehat{U}'(t,v)\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)$ is a C^3 function in the support of $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(z)$ that has a square root behavior at the endpoints. Thus we obtain from the Stieltjes inversion formula that

$$\partial_t\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,E) = \frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{\eta\searrow 0}\operatorname{Im}\partial_t\widehat{m}_{fc}(t,z) = -\frac{1}{2}\big(\widehat{U}'(t,E)\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,E)\big)', \tag{4.28}$$

for all $E\in(\widehat{L}_-(t),\widehat{L}_+(t))$, where $\widehat{L}_\pm(t)$ denote the endpoints of the support of $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$.

On the other hand, differentiating (4.21) with respect to time, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)}\partial_t\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)\,\mathrm{d}v = -\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))\partial_t\widehat{\gamma}_i(t).$$

Substituting from (4.28), we get

$$\partial_t \widehat{\gamma}_i(t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))}\int_{-\infty}^{\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)} \mathrm{d}v \, \Big(\widehat{U}'_{fc}(t,v)\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,v)\Big)' \, .$$

Hence,

$$\partial_t \widehat{\gamma}_i(t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))}\widehat{U}'\big(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)\big)\,\widehat{\rho}_{fc}\big(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)\big)\,,$$

and (4.24) follows. Using that $\widehat{U}$ satisfies (4.16), we can recast this last equation as

$$\partial_t \widehat{\gamma}_i(t) = -\fint_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y)}{y-\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)}\,\mathrm{d}y - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)$$

and we find (4.25). Equation (4.25) follows in a similar way by differentiating (4.16) with respect to time. By a similar computation we obtain (4.26). The bound in (4.27) follow from Lemma 4.2. □

Starting from the relations in (4.14), we derived via the time-dependent potential $\widehat{U}$, equation of motions for the classical locations $(\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))$. The points $(\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))$ may also be viewed as the classical locations of the eigenvalues of a family of random matrices which is parametrized by the times t_0 and t. This is the subject of the next section.

5. Dyson Brownian Motion: Evolution of the entropy

5.1. **Dyson Brownian motion.** Let $H_0 = (h_{ij,0})$ be the matrix

$$H_0 := \mathrm{e}^{t_0/2}\,V + W\,,$$

where V satisfies Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3, and W is real symmetric or complex Hermitian satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Here $t_0 \geq 0$ is chosen such that $\vartheta = \mathrm{e}^{t_0/2} \in \Theta_\varpi$ (see (3.1)) and we consider ϑ as an a priori free "coupling parameter" that we fix in Section 8 below. Let $B = (b_{ij}) \equiv (b_{ij,t})$ be a real symmetric, respectively a complex Hermitian, matrix whose entries are a collection of independent, up to the symmetry constraint, real (complex) Brownian motions, independent of $(h_{ij,0})$. More precisely, in case W is a complex Hermitian Wigner matrix, we choose the entries $(b_{ij,t})$ to have variance t; in case W is a real symmetric Wigner matrix, we choose the off-diagonal entries of $(b_{ij,t})$ to have variance t, while the diagonal entries are chosen to have variance $2t$. Let $H_t = (h_{ij,t})$ satisfy the stochastic differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}h_{ij} = \frac{\mathrm{d}b_{ij}}{\sqrt{N}} - \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}\mathrm{d}t\,, \qquad (t \geq 0)\,. \tag{5.1}$$

It is then easy to check that the distribution of H_t agrees with the distribution of the matrix

$$\mathrm{e}^{-(t-t_0)/2}V + \mathrm{e}^{-t/2}W + (1-\mathrm{e}^{-t})^{1/2}W'\,, \tag{5.2}$$

where W' is, in case W is a complex Hermitian, a GUE matrix, independent of V and W, respectively a GOE matrix, independent of V and W, in case W is a real symmetric Wigner matrix. The law of the eigenvalues of the matrix W' is explicitly given by (4.10) with $\beta = 2$, respectively $\beta = 1$.

Denote by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(t) = (\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \ldots, \lambda_N(t))$ the ordered eigenvalues of H_t. It is well-known that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(t)$ satisfy the following stochastic differential equation,

$$\mathrm{d}\lambda_i = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\beta N}}\,\mathrm{d}b_i + \left(-\frac{\lambda_i}{2} + \frac{1}{N}\sum_j^{(i)}\frac{1}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\right)\mathrm{d}t\,, \qquad (i \in [\![1,N]\!])\,, \tag{5.3}$$

where (b_i) is a collection of real-valued, independent standard Brownian motions. If the matrix (b_{ij}) in (5.1) is real symmetric, we have $\beta = 1$ in (5.3), respectively $\beta = 2$ if (b_{ij}) is complex Hermitian. The evolution of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(t)$ is the celebrated Dyson Brownian motion [20].

For $t \geq 0$, we denote by $f_t\,\mu_G$ the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(t)$. In particular, $\int f_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G \equiv \int f_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 1$. Note that $f_t\,\mu_G$ depends on V through the initial condition $f_0\,\mu_G$. In the following we always keep the (v_i) fixed,

i.e., we condition on V. For simplicity, we omit this conditioning from our notation. The density f_t is the solution of the equation

$$\partial_t f_t = \mathcal{L} f_t \,, \qquad (t \geq 0) \,,$$

where the generator $\mathcal{L}$ is defined via the Dirichlet form

$$D_{\mu_G}(f) = -\int f \mathcal{L} f \mathrm{d}\mu_G = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\beta N} \int (\partial_i f)^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_G \,, \qquad (\partial_i \equiv \partial_{x_i}) \,. \tag{5.4}$$

Formally, we have $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\beta N}\Delta - (\nabla \mathcal{H}_G)\cdot\nabla$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\beta N}\partial_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_i + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j}^{(i)} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \Big) \partial_i \,. \tag{5.5}$$

We remark that we use a different normalization in the definition of the Dirichlet form $D_{\mu_G}(f)$ in (5.4) (and the generator $\mathcal{L}$) than in earlier works, e.g., in [29], where the Dirichlet from was defined as $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2N} \int (\partial_i f)^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_G$.

Lemma 5.1. [Dyson Brownian Motion] *The equation $\partial_t f_t = \mathcal{L} f_t$, with initial data[2] $f_t|_{t=0} = f_0$ has a unique solution on $\mathrm{L}^1(\mu_G) \equiv \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mu_G)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, the domain $\mathcal{F}^{(N)}$ is invariant under the dynamics, i.e., if f_0 is supported in $\mathcal{F}^{(N)}$ then is f_t for all $t \geq 0$.*

We refer, e.g., to [1] for more details and proofs. To conclude, we record one of the technical tools used in the next sections.

Lemma 5.2. *Denote by $f_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ the distribution of the eigenvalues of the matrix (5.2) with $t \geq 0$. Then, for any $0 < \mathfrak{a}_1 < 1/2$, we have*

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \int \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i(t))^2 f_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mathrm{d}\mu(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \leq N^{-1-2\mathfrak{a}_1} \,, \tag{5.6}$$

on Ω_V for N sufficiently large, where $(\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))$ denote the classical locations with respect to the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$, i.e., they are defined through the relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)} \mathrm{d}x\, \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,x) = \frac{i - \frac{1}{2}}{N} \,, \qquad (1 \leq i \leq N) \,. \tag{5.7}$$

(They agree with the classical locations of (4.21).)

Proof. The random matrix $W_t \equiv (w_{ij,t}) := \mathrm{e}^{-t/2} W + (1-\mathrm{e}^{-t})^{1/2} W'$, satisfies the assumptions in Definition 2.1: The entries are centered and have variance $1/N$. Moreover, since the distributions of $(w_{ij,0})$, satisfies (2.3) and since (w'_{ij}) are real, respectively complex, centered Gaussian random variables with variance $1/N$, respectively $2/N$, the distributions of $(w_{ij,t})$ also satisfy (2.3). The claim now follows from (3.15) of Corollary 3.4 and the moment bounds $\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{Tr}\, W_t^{2p} \leq C_p$ (see, e.g., [1]), as well as the boundedness of (v_i). □

5.2. **Entropy decay estimates.** Let ω and ν be two (probability) measures on $\mathbb{R}^N$ that are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. We denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative of ν with respect to ω by $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\omega}$, define the relative entropy of ν with respect to ω by

$$S(\nu|\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\omega} \log \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{\mathrm{d}\omega}\, \mathrm{d}\omega \,, \tag{5.8}$$

and, in case $\nu = f\omega$, $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, abbreviate

$$S_\omega(f) = S(f\omega|\omega) \,.$$

[2]Strictly speaking, the eigenvalue distribution of H_0 may not allow a density f_0, but for $t > 0$, H_t admits a density f_t. Our proofs are not affected by this technicality.

The entropy $S_\omega(f)$ controls the total variation norm of f through the inequality

$$\int |f-1|\,\mathrm{d}\omega \leq \sqrt{2S_\omega(f)}\,, \tag{5.9}$$

a result we will use repeatedly in the next sections.

Besides the dynamics $(f_t)_{t\geq 0}$ generated by $\mathcal{L}$ introduced in the previous subsection, we also consider a (apriori undetermined) time-dependent density, $(\widetilde{\psi}_t)_{t\geq 0}$, with respect to μ_G. We assume that $\widetilde{\psi}_t \neq 0$, almost everywhere with respect to μ_G and abbreviate $\widetilde{g}_t := \frac{f_t}{\widetilde{\psi}_t}$. Setting $\widetilde{\omega}_t := \widetilde{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$, we have $f_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \widetilde{g}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\widetilde{\omega}_t(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. A natural choice for $\widetilde{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ is the time dependent β-ensemble, $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$, introduced in (4.20). Yet, following the arguments of [28] we make a slightly different choice for $\widetilde{\psi}_t$: For $\tau > 0$, we define a measure $\widetilde{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ on $F^{(N)}$ by setting

$$\widetilde{\psi}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \frac{1}{Z'_{\widetilde{\psi}_t}} \mathrm{e}^{-N\beta\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(\lambda_i-\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))^2}{2\tau}}\widehat{\psi}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,, \tag{5.10}$$

where $Z'_{\widetilde{\psi}_t} \equiv Z'_{\widetilde{\psi}_t}(\beta)$ is chosen such that $\int \widetilde{\psi}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 1$. In the following, we mostly choose τ to be N-dependent with $1 \gg \tau > 0$.

We call the measure $\widetilde{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ the instantaneous relaxation measure. The density $\widetilde{\psi}_t$ depends on $V = \mathrm{diag}(v_i)$ via the initial condition $\widetilde{\psi}_0$. As for the distribution f_t, we condition on V and omit this from the notation. We may write the measure $\widetilde{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ in the Gibbs form

$$\widetilde{\psi}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\widetilde{\psi}_t}}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta N\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\,,$$

with

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathcal{H}_G(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{(\lambda_i-\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))^2}{2\tau} + \frac{\widehat{U}(t,\lambda_i)}{2}\right)\,, \qquad (\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in F^{(N)})\,, \tag{5.11}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_G$ is defined in (4.9) and $Z_{\widetilde{\psi}_t} \equiv Z_{\widetilde{\psi}_t}(\beta)$ is a normalization. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla u\cdot(\nabla^2\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_t)\cdot\nabla u &\geq \sum_{i=1}^N(\partial_i u)^2\left(\frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{\widehat{U}''(t,\lambda_i)}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N\sum_j^{(i)}\frac{1}{(x_i-x_j)^2}(\partial_i u-\partial_j u)^2\\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^N\frac{(\partial_i u)^2}{2\tau}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

for $u\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and τ sufficiently small (independent of N), where we used that $\widehat{U}''(t,\cdot)$ is uniformly bounded below by Lemma 4.2. Then, by the Bakry-Émery criterion [3], there is a constant C such that the following logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds for all sufficiently small $\tau > 0$:

$$S_{\widetilde{\omega}_t}(q) \leq C\tau D_{\widetilde{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{q})\,, \qquad (t\geq 0)\,, \tag{5.13}$$

where $q\in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\omega}_t)$ is such that $\int q\,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\omega}_t = 1$. We refer, e.g., to [27, 28, 29, 32] for more details.

The main result of this section is the following proposition. Recall the definition of $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ in (4.20).

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\widehat{g}_t := f_t/\widehat{\psi}_t$ and set $\widehat{\omega}_t := \widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ such that $S(f_t\,\mu_G|\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G) = S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t)$. Then, there is a constant C (independent of t) such that*

$$\partial_t S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t) \leq -4D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t}) + CN^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\,, \qquad (t>0)\,, \tag{5.14}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

The results of Proposition 5.3 resemble the relative entropy estimate of Theorem 2.5 in [29] for Wigner matrices. However, due to the fact that both distributions $f_t\,\mu_G$ and $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ are not close to the global equilibrium for the Dyson Brownian motion, μ_G, the reference ensemble $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ changes with time, too. Thus to establish (5.14), we need to include additional factors coming from time derivatives of $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$. These can

be controlled using the definition of the potential $\widehat{U}(t)$. The idea of choosing slowly varying time dependent approximation states and controlling the entropy flow goes back to the work [49].

The relative entropy $S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}$ and the Dirichlet form $D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}$ do not satisfy the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (5.13). However, we have for $t > 0$ the estimates

$$D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t}) \leq 2D_{\widetilde{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widetilde{g}_t}) + C\frac{\beta N^2 Q_t}{\tau^2}\,, \qquad D_{\widetilde{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widetilde{g}_t}) \leq 2D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t}) + C\frac{\beta N^2 Q_t}{\tau^2}\,, \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$S_{\widetilde{\omega}_t}(\widetilde{g}_t) = S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\beta N^2 Q_t}{\tau}\right)\,, \tag{5.16}$$

where we have set

$$Q_t := \mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i(t))^2\,. \tag{5.17}$$

The estimates (5.15) and (5.16) can be checked by elementary computations which we omit here. In the following we always bound $Q_t \leq CN^{-1-2\mathfrak{a}_1}$ ($t \geq 0$, $\mathfrak{a}_1 \in (0, 1/2)$); see Lemma 5.6. Using (5.15) and (5.16) in combination with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (5.13) and with Proposition 5.3, we can follow [29] to obtain a bound on the Dirichlet form $D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t})$.

Corollary 5.4. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 5.3 the following holds on Ω_V for N sufficiently large. For any $\epsilon' > 0$ and $t \geq \tau N^{\epsilon'}$ with $1 \gg \tau \geq N^{-2\mathfrak{a}}$, we have the entropy and Dirichlet form bounds*

$$S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t) \leq C\frac{N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}}{\tau}\,, \qquad D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t}) \leq C\frac{N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}}{\tau^2}\,, \tag{5.18}$$

where the constants depend on ϵ'.

Before we prove Proposition 5.3, we obtain rigidity estimates for the time-dependent β-ensemble $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$. Recall that we denote by $\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)$ the classical locations with respect to the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$. Also recall the notation $\widehat{\alpha}_i = \min\{i, N-i\}$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\widehat{U}(t,\cdot)$, $t \geq 0$, be as in Lemma 4.2. Then the following holds on Ω_V for N sufficiently large:*

For any $\delta > 0$, there is $\varsigma > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\mu_G}\left(|\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i(t)| > N^{-\frac{2}{3}+\delta}\widehat{\alpha}_i^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-N^{\varsigma}}\,, \qquad (1 \leq i \leq N,\, t \geq 0)\,, \tag{5.19}$$

where $\mathbb{P}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\mu_G}$, stands for the probability under $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ conditioned on Ω_V. Moreover, for any $0 < \mathfrak{a}_2 < 1/2$, we have

$$\sup_{t\geq 0}\int \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i(t))^2\widehat{\psi}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \leq N^{-1-2\mathfrak{a}_2}\,, \tag{5.20}$$

for N sufficiently large.

Proof. The rigidity estimate (5.19) follows from Proposition 4.3 by choosing $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. The estimate (5.20) is a direct consequence of (5.19) and the fast decay of the distribution $\widehat{\psi}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mu_G(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. □

Below we choose $\mathfrak{a} := \min\{\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2\}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_1$ is the constant in Lemma 5.2. For brevity, we often drop the t-dependence of $\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)$ from the notation.

Proof of Proposition 5.3. Recall that we have set $\widehat{g}_t = f_t/\widehat{\psi}_t$ and $\widehat{\omega}_t = \widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$. The relative entropy $S(f_t\,\mu_G|\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G) = S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t)$ satisfies [49],

$$\partial_t S(f_t\,\mu_G|\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G) = -\frac{1}{\beta N}\int \frac{|\nabla\widehat{g}_t|^2}{g_t}\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G + \int \frac{(\mathcal{L}-\partial_t)\widehat{\psi}_t}{\widehat{\psi}_t}f_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G\,. \tag{5.21}$$

We note that the first term on the right side of (5.21) equals

$$-\frac{1}{\beta N}\int \frac{|\nabla \widehat{g}_t|^2}{\widehat{g}_t}\widehat{\psi}_t \,\mathrm{d}\mu_G = -4D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t})\,. \tag{5.22}$$

To bound the second term on the right side of (5.21), we write

$$\int \frac{(\mathcal{L}-\partial_t)\widehat{\psi}_t}{\widehat{\psi}_t} f_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G = \int (\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t \widehat{g}_t)\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t + \frac{1}{2}\int \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)(\partial_i \widehat{g}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \int \widehat{g}_t \partial_t \widehat{\psi}_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G\,, \tag{5.23}$$

with $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t$ defined through the natural Dirichlet form with respect to $\widehat{\omega}_t$, i.e.,

$$D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(q) = \frac{1}{\beta N}\sum_{i=1}^N \int (\partial_i q)^2 \mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t = -\int q\, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t q \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t\,, \qquad\qquad (t>0)\,. \tag{5.24}$$

Note that the first term on the right side of (5.23) vanishes since, by construction, $\widehat{\omega}_t$ is the reversible measure for the instantaneous flow generated by $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t$. The last term on the right side of (5.23) can be computed explicitly as (recall that the normalization $Z_{\widehat{\psi}_t}$ in the definition of $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ also depends on t),

$$-\int \widehat{g}_t \partial_t \widehat{\psi}_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G = \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_t \widehat{U}(t,\lambda_i)\right]\,. \tag{5.25}$$

To deal with the second term on the right side of (5.23), we integrate by parts to find

$$\frac{1}{2}\int \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)(\partial_i \widehat{g}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}''(t,\lambda_i) + \frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)+\lambda_i - \frac{2}{N}\sum_j^{(i)}\frac{1}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\right)\right]\,. \tag{5.26}$$

Setting $\widehat{g}_t \equiv 1$ in the above computation, we also obtain the identity

$$0 = \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}''(t,\lambda_i) + \frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)+\lambda_i - \frac{2}{N}\sum_j^{(i)}\frac{1}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\right)\right]\,. \tag{5.27}$$

Equation (5.27) may alternatively be derived from the "first order loop equation" for the β-ensemble $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$. Equation (5.26) can thus be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)(\partial_i \widehat{g}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)+\lambda_i - \frac{2}{N}\sum_j^{(i)}\frac{1}{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}''(t,\lambda_i)\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Next, to control the second and third term on the right side of (5.23), respectively the right side of (5.28), we proceed as follows. We expand the potential terms $\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)$, respectively $\widehat{U}''(t,\lambda_i)$, in Taylor series in λ_i to second order around the classical location $\widehat{\gamma}_i$. The resulting zero order terms cancel exactly since the classical locations of the ensembles $f_t\,\mu_G$ and $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ agree by construction. The first order terms in the Taylor expansion can (1) either be bounded in terms of the expectations of $\sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i)^2$ (which can be controlled with the rigidity estimates in Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.2); or (2) they cancel exactly due to the definition of the potential $\widehat{U}(t,\cdot)$ and the equations of motion for $\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)$ in (4.24). Finally, the second order terms in the Taylor expansion can be bounded by the rigidity estimates in Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.2. The details are as follows.

Expanding $\partial_t \widehat{U}(t,\lambda_i)$ to second order around $\widehat{\gamma}_i$, we obtain from (5.25) that

$$-\int \widehat{g}_t \partial_t \widehat{\psi}_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G = \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_t \widehat{U}(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i) + \frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_t \widehat{U}'(t,\gamma_i)(\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i)\right] + \mathcal{O}(N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}})\,, \quad (5.29)$$

on Ω_V, where we used the rigidity estimates in Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.2, and that $\partial_t \widehat{U}''(t,\cdot)$ is uniformly bounded on compact sets by Lemma 4.2.

To save notation, we introduce a function $G : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$G(t;x,y) := \frac{\widehat{U}'(t,x) - \widehat{U}'(t,y)}{x-y}\,. \quad (5.30)$$

Note that $G(t;x,y) = G(t;y,x)$ and that G is C^2 in the spatial coordinates by Lemma 4.2. Recalling the equation of motion for $\partial_t \widehat{U}(t,\cdot)$ in (4.26) we can write

$$\partial_t \widehat{U}(t,x) = \fint \frac{\widehat{U}'(t,y)\mathrm{d}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y)}{y-x} = \int \frac{\widehat{U}'(t,y) - \widehat{U}'(t,x)}{y-x}\mathrm{d}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y) + \widehat{U}'(t,x)\fint \frac{\mathrm{d}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y)}{y-x}\,, \quad (5.31)$$

for x inside the support of the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}$. Thus, recalling (4.16) and (5.30), we obtain

$$\partial_t \widehat{U}(t,x) = \int G(t;x,y)\,\mathrm{d}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(y) - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{U}'(t,x)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,x) + x\right)\,, \quad (5.32)$$

for x inside the support of the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}$.

We hence obtain from (5.29) that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int \widehat{g}_t \partial_t \widehat{\psi}_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G = {} & \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \int G'(t;\widehat{\gamma}_i,y)\mathrm{d}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(y)(\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i)\right] \\
& - \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}''(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i) + \widehat{\gamma}_i\right)(\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i)\right] \\
& - \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i)\left(\widehat{U}''(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i) + 1\right)(\lambda_i - \widehat{\gamma}_i)\right] \\
& + \mathcal{O}(N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}})\,, \quad (5.33)
\end{aligned}$$

on Ω_V, where we denote by $G'(t;x,y)$ the first derivative of $G(t;x,y)$ with respect to x.

Next we return to (5.28). Using the rigidity estimates of the Lemmas 5.5 and 5.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\int \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)(\partial_i \widehat{g}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = {} & \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}''(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i(t))\right] \\
& + \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i) + \lambda_i - \frac{2}{N}\sum_j^{(i)} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}\right)\right] \\
& + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{1/2-\mathfrak{a}}\right)\,, \quad (5.34)
\end{aligned}$$

on Ω_V, where we used a Taylor expansion of the first term on the right side of (5.28). Here we also used that $\widehat{U}'$ is three times continuously differentiable with uniformly bounded derivatives on compact sets. Note that the first term on the right side of (5.34) vanishes.

Using the definition of the function $G(t;\cdot,\cdot)$ in (5.30) we can recast (5.34) as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int\sum_{i=1}^{N}\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)(\partial_i\widehat{g}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)+\lambda_i\right)\right]\\ &\quad+\left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[-\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j}^{(i)}G(t;\lambda_i,\lambda_j)\right]\\ &\quad+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1/2-\mathfrak{a}}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{5.35}$$

where we used the symmetry $G(t;x,y)=G(t;y,x)$. Expanding the second term on the right side (5.35) to second order in (λ_i,λ_j) around $(\widehat{\gamma}_i,\widehat{\gamma}_j)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[-\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j}^{(i)}G(t;\lambda_i,\lambda_j)\right] &= \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[-\frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}G'(t;\widehat{\gamma}_i,\widehat{\gamma}_i)\right)(\lambda_i-\widehat{\gamma}_i)\right]\\ &\quad+\mathcal{O}(N^{1/2-\mathfrak{a}})+\mathcal{O}(N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}),\end{aligned}\tag{5.36}$$

on Ω_V, where we used the symmetry $G(t;x,y)=G(t;y,x)$, $G(t;x,x)=\widehat{U}''(t,x)$ and that $G(t;x,y)$ is C^2 in the spatial variables. Thus, also expanding the first term on the right side of (5.35) in λ_i around $\widehat{\gamma}_i$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int\sum_{i=1}^{N}\widehat{U}'(t,\lambda_i)(\partial_i\widehat{g}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))\mathrm{d}\widehat{\omega}_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[-\frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j}G'(t;\widehat{\gamma}_i,\widehat{\gamma}_j)\right)(\lambda_i-\widehat{\gamma}_i)\right]\\ &\quad+\left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\widehat{U}''(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i)\left(\widehat{U}'(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i)+\widehat{\gamma}_i\right)(\lambda_i-\widehat{\gamma}_i)\right]\\ &\quad+\left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[\frac{\beta N}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\widehat{U}'(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i)\left(\widehat{U}''(t,\widehat{\gamma}_i)+1\right)(\lambda_i-\widehat{\gamma}_i)\right]\\ &\quad+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1/2-\mathfrak{a}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{5.37}$$

on Ω_V, where we used the rigidity estimates in Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.2.

Adding up (5.33) and (5.37), we hence obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int\frac{(\mathcal{L}-\partial_t)\widehat{\psi}_t}{\widehat{\psi}_t}f_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G\right| &\le \left|\left[\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}-\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}\right]\left[-\frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}G'(t;\widehat{\gamma}_i,\widehat{\gamma}_j)-\int G'(t;\widehat{\gamma}_i,y)\,\mathrm{d}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(y)\right)(\lambda_i-\widehat{\gamma}_i)\right]\right|\\ &\quad+\mathcal{O}(N^{1/2-\mathfrak{a}})+\mathcal{O}(N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}),\end{aligned}\tag{5.38}$$

on Ω_V. To finish the proof we observe that, for all $\widehat{\gamma}_i$,

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}G'(t;\widehat{\gamma}_i,\widehat{\gamma}_j)=\int G'(t;\widehat{\gamma}_i,y)\,\mathrm{d}\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y)+\mathcal{O}(N^{-1}),$$

on Ω_V, where we used that $\widehat{\gamma}_{i+1}-\widehat{\gamma}_i\sim N^{-2/3}\widehat{\alpha}_i^{-1}$, $(\widehat{\alpha}_i=\min\{i,N-i\})$, and the square root decay of $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ at the edges of the support. Thus,

$$\int\frac{(\mathcal{L}-\partial_t)\widehat{\psi}_t}{\widehat{\psi}_t}f_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{1/2-\mathfrak{a}}\right)+\mathcal{O}(N^{1-\mathfrak{a}}),\tag{5.39}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V, where we used one last time the rigidity estimates. Using that $N^{1/2-\mathfrak{a}}<N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}$, $\mathfrak{a}\in(0,1/2)$, we get from (5.21), (5.22) and (5.39) the desired estimate (5.14). □

Before we move on to the proof of Corollary 5.4, we give a rough estimate on $S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t)$ for $t>0$.

Lemma 5.6. *There is a constant m such that, for $\tau > 0$ and $t \geq \tau$, we have*

$$S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t) = S(f_t\,\mu_G|\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G) \leq CN^m \tag{5.40}$$

on Ω_V, for N sufficiently large. Here the constant C depends on τ.

Proof. From the definition of the relative entropy in (5.8), we have

$$S(f_t\,\mu_G|\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G) \leq S(f_t\,\mu_G|\mu_G) + \left|\frac{\beta N}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int \widehat{U}(t,\lambda_i) f_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathrm{d}\mu_G(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\right| + \log Z_{\widehat{\psi}_t}\,. \tag{5.41}$$

Since the potential $\widehat{U}(t)$ is bounded below, we have (for N sufficiently large on Ω_V) $\log Z_{\widehat{\psi}_t} \leq C\beta N^2$. Similarly, using the rigidity estimate (5.6), we can bound the second term on the right side of (5.41) by CN^2. To bound the first term on the right of (5.41), we use that $S(f_t\,\mu_G|\mu_G) \leq S(H_t|W') \leq N^2 \max S(h_{ij,t}|w'_{ij}) + N\max S(h_{ii,t}|w'_{ii})$, where $(h_{ij,t})$ are the entries of the in (5.2) and w'_{ij} are the entries of the GOE, respectively GUE, matrix W'. By explicit calculations, remembering that the diagonal entries (v_i) are fixed, one finds $\max S(h_{ij,t}|g_{ij}) \leq CN$ for $t \geq \tau$; see e.g., [21]. (Note that we choose $t > 0$, otherwise the relative entropy may be ill-defined). □

To complete the proof of Corollary 5.4 we follow the discussion in [29].

Proof of Corollary 5.4. Using an approximation argument, we can assume that $\widetilde{g}_t \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\omega}_t)$. Using first the entropy bound (5.14) and then the second Dirichlet form estimate in (5.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t) &\leq -4D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t}) + CN^{1-2\mathfrak{a}} \\
&\leq -2D_{\widetilde{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widetilde{g}_t}) + CN^{1-2\mathfrak{a}} + C\frac{N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}}{\tau^2} \\
&\leq -C\tau^{-1}S_{\widetilde{\omega}_t}(\widetilde{g}_t) + C\frac{N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}}{\tau^2}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. To get the third line we used the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (5.13) and that, by assumption, $\tau < 1$. Using the entropy estimate (5.16), we thus obtain

$$\partial_t S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t) \leq -C\tau^{-1}S_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\widehat{g}_t) + C\frac{N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}}{\tau^2}\,, \tag{5.42}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Integrating (5.42) from τ to $t/2$, we infer

$$S_{\widehat{\omega}_{t/2}}(\widehat{g}_{t/2}) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-C\tau^{-1}(t/2-\tau)}S_{\widehat{\omega}_\tau}(\widehat{g}_\tau) + C\frac{N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}}{\tau}\,,$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Bounding $S_{\widehat{\omega}_\tau}(\widehat{g}_\tau)$ by the rough estimate (5.41), we get

$$S_{\widehat{\omega}_{t/2}}(\widehat{g}_{t/2}) \leq CN^m\mathrm{e}^{-C\tau^{-1}(t/2-\tau)} + CN^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\tau\,,$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Recalling that $t \geq \tau_0 = \tau N^{\epsilon'}$, we obtain the first inequality in (5.18) using the monotonicity of the relative entropy.

Integrating (5.14) from $t/2$ to t, we obtain

$$\int_{t/2}^{t} D_{\widehat{\omega}_s}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_s})\,\mathrm{d}s \leq -\int_{t/2}^{t}\partial_s S_{\widehat{\omega}_s}(\widehat{g}_s)\mathrm{d}s + CtN^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\,.$$

Thus, using the above estimate on the relative entropy and the monotonicity of the Dirichlet form,

$$D_{\widehat{\omega}_t}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t}) \leq C\frac{N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}}{t\tau} + CN^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\,.$$

Recalling that $t \geq \tau_0 = N^{\epsilon'}\tau$, we get the second inequality in (5.18). □

6. Local equilibrium measures

The main results of this section, Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2, compare the averaged local gap statistics of $f_t\,\mu_G$ with the averaged local gap statistics of the β-ensembles $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ and μ_G.

Recall that a symmetric function $O : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an n-particle observable if O is smooth and compactly supported. For a given observable O, a time $t \geq 0$, a small constant $\alpha > 0$ and $j \in [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]$, we define an observable $G_{j,n,t}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x})$, by setting

$$G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}) := O(N\rho_j(x_{j+1} - x_j), N\rho_j(x_{j+2} - x_j), \ldots, N\rho_j(x_{j+n+1} - x_j))\,, \qquad (\mathbf{x} = (x_k)_{k=1}^N \in \boldsymbol{\digamma}^{(N)})\,, \quad (6.1)$$

where we set $G_{j,n} = 0$ if $j + n > (1-\alpha)N$. Here ρ_j denotes the density of the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ at the classical location of the j-th particle at time t, i.e., $\rho_j = \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t, \widehat{\gamma}_j(t))$. We also set

$$G_{j,n,sc}(\mathbf{x}) := O(N\rho_{sc,j}(x_{j+1} - x_j), N\rho_{sc,j}(x_{j+2} - x_j), \ldots, N\rho_{sc,j}(x_{j+n+1} - x_j))\,, \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in \boldsymbol{\digamma}^{(N)})\,, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\rho_{sc,j}$ denotes the density of the semicircle law at the classical location of the j-th particle with respect to the semicircle law.

In the following, we denote constants depending on O by C_O. Recall the definition of the density $\widehat{\psi}_t$ in (4.20). We have the following statement on the averaged local gap statistics.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and consider an n-particle observable O. Fix a small constant $\alpha > 0$ and consider an interval of consecutive integers $J \subset [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]$ in the bulk. Then, for any small $\delta > 0$, there is a constant $\mathfrak{f} > 0$ such that, for $t \geq N^{-1/2+\delta}$,*

$$\left| \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x})\, f_t(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) - \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x})\, \widehat{\psi}_t(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq C_O N^{-\mathfrak{f}}\,, \quad (6.3)$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. The constant C_O depends on α and O, and the constant $\mathfrak{f}$ depends on α and δ.

We can also compare the averaged local gap statistics of $f_t\,\mu_G$, with the averaged local gap statistics of the Gaussian unitary, respectively orthogonal, ensemble.

Theorem 6.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 6.1 and with similar constants, we have*

$$\left| \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}) f_t(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) - \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} G_{j,n,sc}(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq C_O N^{-\mathfrak{f}}\,, \quad (6.4)$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

To prove Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2, we localize the measures $f_t\,\mu_G$ and $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ as is explained in the next subsections. We then use Theorem 4.1 of [30] to compare the gap statistics of these localized measures. The technical input we are using are the Dirichlet form estimates established in Corollary 5.4. Alternatively, one could combine the approach of [29] with Theorem 2.1 in [12] (see Theorem 4.1 above), to prove Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2.

To conclude, we remark that once the entropy estimate of Proposition 5.3 has been established, one can apply the methods of [30] to prove the gap universality in the bulk for deformed Wigner matrices; see Remark 2.8 above for an explicit statement; we leave the details to the interested readers.

6.1. Preliminaries.

Let $\alpha, \sigma > 0$ be two small positive numbers and choose two integer parameters L and K such that

$$L \in [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]\,, \qquad K \in [\![N^\sigma, N^{1/4}]\!]\,. \quad (6.5)$$

We denote by $I_{L,K} = [\![L - K, L + K]\!]$ a set of $\mathcal{K} := 2K + 1$ consecutive indices in the bulk of the spectrum. Below we often abbreviate $I \equiv I_{L,K}$. Recall the definition of the set $\boldsymbol{\digamma}^{(N)} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ in (4.1). For $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\digamma}^{(N)}$, we write

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N) = (y_1, \ldots, y_{L-K-1}, x_{L-K}, \ldots, x_{L+K}, y_{L+K+1}, \ldots, y_N)\,, \quad (6.6)$$

and we call $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ a configuration (of N particles or points on the real line). Note that on the right side of (6.6) the points keep their original indices and are ordered in increasing order,

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_{L-K}, \dots, x_{K+L}) \in \mathit{\Gamma}^{(\mathcal{K})}\,, \qquad \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{L-K-1}, y_{L+K+1}, \dots, y_N) \in \mathit{\Gamma}^{(N-\mathcal{K})}\,. \tag{6.7}$$

We refer to $\mathbf{x}$ as the interior points or particles and to $\mathbf{y}$ as the exterior points or particles.

In the following, we often fix the exterior points and consider the conditional measures on the interior points: Let ω be a measure with density on $\mathit{\Gamma}^{(N)}$. Then we denote by $\omega^{\mathbf{y}}$ the measure obtained by conditioning on $\mathbf{y}$, i.e., for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of the form (6.6),

$$\omega^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) \equiv \omega^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} := \frac{\omega(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\int \omega(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\omega(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\int \omega(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}\,,$$

where, with slight abuse of notation, $\omega(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ stands for $\omega(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. We refer to the fixed exterior points $\mathbf{y}$ as boundary conditions of the measure $\omega^{\mathbf{y}}$. For fixed $\mathbf{y} \in \mathit{\Gamma}^{(N-\mathcal{K})}$, all (x_i) lie in the open configuration interval

$$\boldsymbol{I} \equiv \boldsymbol{I}_{L,K} := (y_{L-K-1}, y_{L+K+1})\,.$$

Set $\bar{y} := (y_{L-K-1} + y_{L+K+1})/2$ and let

$$\alpha_j := \bar{y} + \frac{j-L}{\mathcal{K}+1}|\mathbf{y}|\,, \qquad (j \in I_{L,K})\,, \tag{6.8}$$

denote $\mathcal{K}$ equidistant points in the interval $\boldsymbol{I}$.

Let $U \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$ be a "regular" potential satisfying (4.3) and (4.4). We then consider the β-ensemble

$$\mu(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \equiv \mu_U(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \frac{1}{Z_U}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta N \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda}\,, \qquad (\beta > 0)\,, \tag{6.9}$$

with, (c.f., (4.2)),

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2}\left(U(\lambda_i) + \frac{\lambda_i^2}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\le i<j\le N} \log|\lambda_j - \lambda_i|\,,$$

and with $Z_U \equiv Z_U(\beta)$ a normalization. For K, L and $\mathbf{y}$ fixed, we can write $\mu^{\mathbf{y}}$ as the Gibbs measure

$$\mu^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z_U^{\mathbf{y}}}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta N \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i\in I}\frac{1}{2}V^{\mathbf{y}}(x_i) - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in I\\ i<j}} \log|x_j - x_i|\,,$$

with

$$V^{\mathbf{y}}(x) = U(x) + \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{2}{N}\sum_{i\notin I}\log|x - y_i|\,, \tag{6.10}$$

and with $Z_U^{\mathbf{y}} \equiv Z_U^{\mathbf{y}}(\beta)$ a normalization. We refer to $V^{\mathbf{y}}$ as an external potential. Following [30] we introduce the definition of regular external potential as follows.

Definition 6.3. *An external potential $V \equiv V^{\mathbf{y}}$ in a β-ensemble of K points in a configuration interval $\boldsymbol{I} = (a,b)$ is called K^χ-regular, if the following bounds hold:*

$$|\boldsymbol{I}| = \frac{\mathcal{K}}{N\rho(\overline{y})} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{K^\chi}{N}\right)\,, \tag{6.11}$$

$$V'(x) = \rho(\overline{y})\log\frac{d_+(x)}{d_-(x)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{K^\chi}{Nd(x)}\right)\,, \tag{6.12}$$

$$V''(x) \ge 1 + \inf U''(x) + \frac{c}{d(x)}\,, \tag{6.13}$$

for $x \in \boldsymbol{I}$, with some $c > 0$ and for some small $\chi > 0$, where

$$d(x) := \min\{|x-a|, |x-b|\}$$

denotes the distance to the boundary of $\boldsymbol{I}$ and

$$d_-(x) := d(x) + \rho(\overline{y})N^{-1}K^\chi\,, \qquad d_+(x) := \max\{|x-a|, |x-b|\} + \rho(\overline{y})N^{-1}K^\chi\,.$$

The main technical result we use in this section is Theorem 4.1 of [30]; see Theorem 6.5 below. It asserts that the local gap statistics of $\mu^{\mathbf{y}}$ are essentially independent of $\mathbf{y}$ and U, provided that $V^{\mathbf{y}}$ is K^{χ}-regular for some small $\chi > 0$.

6.2. **Comparison of local measures.** Fix small $\alpha, \sigma > 0$ and let K and L satisfy (6.5). Recall that we denote by $f_t\,\mu_G$ the distribution of the eigenvalues of the matrix in (5.2) and by $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ the reference β-ensemble defined in (5.10). Following the discussion of the previous subsection we introduce the conditioned densities

$$f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} = (f_t\,\mu_G)^{\mathbf{y}}\,, \qquad\qquad \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} = (\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G)^{\mathbf{y}}\,. \tag{6.14}$$

Recall that we denote by $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ the equilibrium density of $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ and by $\widehat{\gamma}_k \equiv \widehat{\gamma}_k(t)$ the classical location of the k-th particle with respect to $\widehat{\rho}_{fc} \equiv \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$; c.f., (3.13). Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and define the set of "good" boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{L,K} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{L,K}(\epsilon_0,\alpha) :=& \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{(N)} \,:\, |\lambda_k - \widehat{\gamma}_k| \le N^{-1+\epsilon_0}\,, \forall k \in [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]\backslash I_{L,K}\} \\ &\cap \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{(N)} \,:\, |\lambda_k - \widehat{\gamma}_k| \le N^{-2/3+\epsilon_0}\,, \forall k \in [\![1, N]\!]\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

The next result compares the local statistics of $f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}_{L,K}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{a}$ stands for any number in $(0, 1/2)$.

Proposition 6.4. *Fix small constants $\alpha, \sigma > 0$ (see (6.5)) and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ (see (6.15)). Let K satisfy (6.5) and let O be an n-particle observable. Let $\epsilon' > 0$ and choose τ satisfying $1 \gg \tau > N^{-2\mathfrak{a}}$. Then, for any $t \ge N^{\epsilon'}\tau$ and any constant $\mathfrak{c} \in (0,1)$, there is a set of configurations $\mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{L,K}(\epsilon_0,\alpha) \subset \mathcal{R}_{L,K}(\epsilon_0,\alpha)$, with*

$$\mathbb{P}^{f_t\mu_G}(\mathcal{G}) \ge 1 - \frac{N^{-\mathfrak{c}}}{2}\,, \tag{6.16}$$

such that

$$\left|\int O(\mathbf{x})\left(f_t^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\right)\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})\right| \le C_O\sqrt{K}N^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1}\,, \qquad (t \ge N^{\epsilon'}\tau)\,, \tag{6.17}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. The constant C_O, depends only on ϵ', α and O.

Moreover, there is $\upsilon > 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{P}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\Big(\left\{|x_k - \widehat{\gamma}_k(t)| < N^{-1+\epsilon_0}\,,\, k \in I_{L,K}\right\}\Big) \ge 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\upsilon(\varphi_N)^{\xi}}\,, \qquad (t \ge N^{\epsilon'}\tau)\,, \tag{6.18}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V, where we have chosen $\xi = A_0 \log\log N/2$; see (2.20).

Proof. We follow closely the proof of Lemma 6.4 in [30]. Let τ satisfy $1 \gg \tau > N^{-2\mathfrak{a}}$ and choose $t \ge N^{\epsilon'}\tau$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int O(\mathbf{x})\left(f_t^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) - \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\right)\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})\right| &\le C_O\,\|f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} - \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}\|_1 \\ &\le C_O\sqrt{S_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}(\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}})}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

where we used (5.9) and set $\widehat{g}_t := f_t/\widehat{\psi}_t$. For $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}_{L,K}$, we consider the locally-constrained measure $\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$, explicitly given by

$$\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z^{\mathbf{y}}}\mathrm{e}^{-N\beta\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathbf{y}}(t,\mathbf{x})}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,,$$

with

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathbf{y}}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k\in I}\left(\frac{\widehat{U}(t,x_k)}{2} + \frac{x_k^2}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{k,l\in I\\ k<l}}\log|x_k - x_l| - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{k\in I\\ l\notin I}}\log|x_k - y_l|\,.$$

Here $I \equiv I_{L,K}$. From (5.20) of [30], we know that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathbf{y}}(t,\mathbf{x}) \;\ge cN/K\,, \qquad (\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}_{L,K})\,, \tag{6.20}$$

for some $c > 0$ independent of t. Here, $\nabla^2_{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the Hessian with respect the variables $\mathbf{x}$. Thus the Bakry-Émery criterion yields the logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$$S_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}(\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}) \leq \frac{CK}{N}\, D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\Big(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\Big)\,, \qquad\qquad (\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}_{L,K})\,, \tag{6.21}$$

where the constant C can be chosen independent t.

For $k \in [\![1, N]\!]$, denote by $D_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G,k}$ the Dirichlet form of the particle k, i.e., $D_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G,k}(f) := \frac{1}{2N}\int |\partial_k f|^2 \widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$, and by $D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}},k}$ its conditioned analogue (with $k \in I_{L,K}$). Using the notation of (6.6), we may write

$$\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\right) = \int D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\right) f_t(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\,\mu_G(\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

and we can bound

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G} D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\right) &= \mathbb{E}^{f_t\mu_G}\sum_{k\in I} D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}},k}\left(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\right)\\ &\leq D_{\widehat{\psi}_t\mu_G}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t})\\ &\leq CN^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-2}\,,\end{aligned}$$

for N sufficiently large, where we used Corollary 5.4 in the last line. Thus Markov's inequality implies, for $\mathfrak{c} > 0$, that there exists a set of configurations $\mathcal{G}^1 \subset \mathcal{R}$, with $\mathbb{P}^{f_t\,\mu_G}(\mathcal{G}^1) \geq 1 - N^{-\mathfrak{c}}$, such that, for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}^1$,

$$D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\right) \leq CN^{2\mathfrak{c}}N^{1-2\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-2}\,, \tag{6.22}$$

holds for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Substituting (6.22) into (6.21) and then into (6.19), we find that

$$\left|\int O(\mathbf{x})\left(f_t^{\mathbf{y}} - \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\right)\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})\right| \leq C_O\sqrt{K}N^{\mathfrak{c}}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1}\,,$$

on Ω_V for N sufficiently large. This proves (6.17).

To prove (6.18) note that the rigidity estimates of Lemma 5.6 imply

$$\mathbb{E}^{f_t\mu_G}\left[\mathbb{P}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(\left\{|x_k - \widehat{\gamma}_k(t)| > N^{-1+\epsilon}\,,\, k \in I\right\}\right)\right] = \mathbb{P}^{f_t\mu_G}\left(\left\{|x_k - \widehat{\gamma}_k(t)| > N^{-1+\epsilon}\,,\, k \in I\right\}\right) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\upsilon(\varphi_N)^\xi}\,,$$

for some $\upsilon > 0$, where we have chosen $\xi = A_0 \log\log N/2$. By Markov's inequality we conclude that there is a set of configurations, $\mathcal{G}^2$, such that (6.18) holds with (ξ, υ)-high probability. Finally, set $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}^1 \cap \mathcal{G}^2$ and note that $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies (6.16). □

6.3. **Gap universality for local measures.** In the previous subsection, we showed that the local gap statistics of the measure $f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ agree with those of $\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ for boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}$ in the set $\mathcal{R}_{L,K}$. In this subsection, we are going to show that the local statistics of $\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ are essentially independent of the precise form of $\mathbf{y}$, as is asserted by the main theorem of [30]. Recall the notion of external potential introduced in (6.10).

Theorem 6.5. [Gap universality for local measures, Theorem 4.1 in [30]] *Let $L, \tilde{L}$ and $\mathcal{K} = 2K + 1$ satisfy* (6.5) *with $\alpha, \sigma > 0$. Consider two boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ such that the configuration intervals coincide, i.e.,*

$$\boldsymbol{I} = (y_{L-K-1}, y_{L+K+1}) = (\tilde{y}_{\tilde{L}-K-1}, \tilde{y}_{\tilde{L}+K+1})\,. \tag{6.23}$$

Consider two measure μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ of the form (6.9)*, with possibly two different potentials U and $\tilde{U}$, and consider the constrained measures $\mu^{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$. Let $\chi > 0$ and assume that the external potentials $V^{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\tilde{V}^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$ (see* (6.10)*) are K^χ-regular; see Definition 6.3. In particular, assume that $\boldsymbol{I}$ satisfies*

$$|\boldsymbol{I}| = \frac{\mathcal{K}}{N\varrho_U(\bar{y})} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{K^\chi}{N}\right)\,. \tag{6.24}$$

Assume further that

$$\max_{j\in I_{L,K}} |\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\mathbf{y}}} x_j - \alpha_j| + \max_{j\in I_{\tilde{L},K}} |\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}} x_j - \alpha_j| \le CN^{-1}K^{\chi}\,. \tag{6.25}$$

Let $p\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $|p|\le K-K^{1-\chi'}$, for some small $\chi'>0$. Fix $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then there is a constant χ_0, such that if $\chi,\chi'<\chi_0$, then for any n-particle observable O, we have

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\mathbf{y}}} O\left(N(x_{L+p+1}-x_{L+p}),\dots,N(x_{L+p+n}-x_{L+p})\right) - \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}} O\left(N(x_{L+p+1}-x_{L+p}),\dots,N(x_{L+p+n}-x_{L+p})\right)\right| \le C_O K^{-\mathfrak{b}}\,,$$

for some constant $\mathfrak{b}>0$ depending on σ, α, and for some constant C_O depending on O. This holds for N sufficiently large (depending on the χ,χ',α and C in (6.25)).

Recall that the measure $\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ can be written as the Gibbs measure

$$\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z^{\mathbf{y}}_{\widehat{\psi}_t}}\mathrm{e}^{-N\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{y}}(t,\mathbf{x})}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{y}}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i\in I}\frac{1}{2}V^{\mathbf{y}}(t,x_i) - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{i,j\in I\\ i<j}}\log|x_j-x_i|\,, \tag{6.26}$$

with the external potential

$$V^{\mathbf{y}}(t,x) = \widehat{U}(t,x) + \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{2}{N}\sum_{i\notin I}\log|x-y_i|\,. \tag{6.27}$$

Using Theorem 6.5 we first show that the local statistics of $\psi_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ are virtually independent of $\mathbf{y}$, i.e., we apply Theorem 6.5 with $\mu^{\mathbf{y}} = (\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G)^{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\tilde{\mu}^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}} = (\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G)^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$.

We first check the regularity assumption of the external potential $V^{\mathbf{y}}$. Recall the definition of K^{χ}-regular potential in Definition 6.3.

Lemma 6.6. *Fix small constants $\alpha,\sigma>0$ (see (6.5)). Let $\chi>0$ and consider $\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{R}_{L,K}(\chi\sigma/2,\alpha/2)$. Then, on the event Ω_V, the external potential $V^{\mathbf{y}}(t,x)$ in (6.27) is K^{χ}-regular on $\boldsymbol{I}=(y_{L-K-1},y_{L+K+1})$.*

The proof of Lemma 6.6 follows almost verbatim the proof of Lemma 4.5 in the Appendix A of [30] and we therefore omit it here.

To check that assumption (6.25) of Theorem 6.5 holds, we use the following result.

Lemma 6.7. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 6.4 the following holds. Let $\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{G}$. Then, for all $k\in I_{L,K}$,*

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k\right| \le C\frac{KN^{2\mathfrak{c}}}{N}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1}\,, \qquad (t\ge\tau N^{\epsilon'})\,, \tag{6.28}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 6.5 of [30]. Fix $t\ge\tau N^{\epsilon'}$, where $1\gg\tau\ge N^{-2\mathfrak{a}}$. Let $\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{G}$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_t^{\mathbf{y}}$ the generator associated to the Dirichlet form $D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}$, i.e.,

$$\int f\mathcal{L}_t^{\mathbf{y}}g\;\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} = -\frac{1}{\beta N}\sum_{i\in I}\int\partial_i f\partial_i g\;\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}\,, \qquad (I\equiv I_{L,K})\,.$$

Let q_s be the solution of the evolution equation $\partial_s q_s = \mathcal{L}_t^{\mathbf{y}}q_s$, $s\ge0$, with initial condition $q_0 := \widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}} = f_t^{\mathbf{y}}/\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}$. Note that q_s is a density with respect to the reversible measure, $\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$, of this dynamics. Hence, we can write

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k\right| = \left|\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}s\int x_k\mathcal{L}_t^{\mathbf{y}}q_s\,\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\beta N}\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}s\int\partial_k q_s\,\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}\right|\,.$$

Recall that $\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ satisfies the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (6.21) with constant $\tau_K := CK/N$, provided that $\mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{R}_{L,K}$. Thus, upon using Cauchy-Schwarz and the exponential decay of the Dirichlet form

$D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}(\sqrt{q_s})$, we obtain for some $\upsilon', c > 0$,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\beta N}\int_0^{N^{\upsilon'}\tau_K}\mathrm{d}s\int \partial_k q_s\,\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}\right| + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-cN^{\upsilon'}}\right).$$

Using

$$|\partial_k q_s| = 2|\sqrt{q_s}\,\partial_k\sqrt{q_s}| \le R(\partial_k\sqrt{q_s})^2 + R^{-1}q_s\,,$$

where $R > 0$ is a free parameter, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{1}{\beta N}\int_0^{N^{\upsilon'}\tau_K}\mathrm{d}s\int \partial_k q_s\,\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}\right| &\le R\left[\int_0^{N^{\upsilon'}\tau_K}\mathrm{d}s\,D_{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G}(\sqrt{q_s})\right] + \frac{1}{2}R^{-1}N^{-1+\upsilon'}\tau_K\\ &\le R\,S_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}(\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}) + \frac{1}{2}R^{-1}N^{-1+\upsilon'}\tau_K\\ &\le CR\tau_K D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}) + \frac{1}{2}R^{-1}N^{-1+\upsilon'}\tau_K\,,\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we used that the time integral of the Dirichlet form is bounded by the initial entropy (see, e.g., Theorem 2.3 in [29]) and in the final line we used the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (6.21). Optimizing over R, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{E}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k\right| &\le C\tau_K\left(N^{-1+\upsilon'}D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\right)\right)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-cN^{\upsilon'}}\right),\\ &\le C\frac{KN^{\upsilon'/2}}{N^{3/2}}\left(D_{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(\sqrt{\widehat{g}_t^{\mathbf{y}}}\right)\right)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-cN^{\upsilon'}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\tau_K = CK/N$. Using (6.22) we finally obtain,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k - \mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k\right| \le C\frac{KN^{2\mathfrak{c}}}{N}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-cN^{\upsilon'}}\right),$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

□

Lemma 6.8. *Fix small constants $\alpha, \sigma > 0$. Fix $\epsilon' > 0$ and $t \ge \tau N^{\epsilon'}$, where τ satisfies $1 \gg \tau \ge N^{-2\mathfrak{a}}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider an n-particle observable O. Let $\chi', \chi > 0$, with $\chi', \chi < \chi_0$, where χ_0 is the constant in Theorem 6.5. Then the following holds.*

Assume that $0 < \mathfrak{a} < 1/2$, $0 < \mathfrak{c} < 1$, $N^{-2\mathfrak{a}} \le \tau \ll 1$ and $K \in [\![N^\sigma, N^{1/4}]\!]$ are chosen such that

$$\frac{KN^{2\mathfrak{c}}}{N}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1} \le \frac{K^\chi}{N}\,. \tag{6.29}$$

Let p be an integer satisfying $|p| \le K - K^{1-\chi'}$. Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}_{L,K}(\frac{\chi^2\sigma}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2})$. Then, for the observable G, as defined in (6.1), we have

$$\left|\int G_{L+p,n}(\mathbf{x})(f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} - \widehat{\psi}_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G)\right| \le C_O K^{-\mathfrak{b}} + C_O\sqrt{K}N^{\mathfrak{c}}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1}\,, \tag{6.30}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V, where the constant C_O depends on O and ϵ', and the constant $\mathfrak{b} > 0$ depends on α and σ.

Proof. We follow [30]. Fix $t \ge \tau N^{\epsilon'}$. Let $\chi > 0$ and let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}_{L,K}(\frac{\chi^2\sigma}{2}, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}_{L,K}(\frac{\chi\sigma}{2}, \alpha)$. Then by Proposition 6.4 and the assumptions in (6.29),

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k - \widehat{\gamma}_k(t)\right| \le CK^\chi N^{-1}\,,$$

for all $k \in I \equiv I_{L,K}$. Further, from Lemma 6.7 and the assumptions in (6.29) we get

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}}x_k - \widehat{\gamma}_k(t)\right| \le CK^\chi N^{-1}\,, \tag{6.31}$$

for all $k \in I$. Recall from (6.8) that we denote by $\bar{y} := \frac{1}{2}(y_{L-K-1}+y_{L+K+1})$ the midpoint of the configuration interval $\boldsymbol{I}$ and that (α_k) denote $2K+1$ equidistant points in the configuration interval $\boldsymbol{I}$. As shown in Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 5.2 of [30], we have

$$|\widehat{\gamma}_k(t) - \alpha_k| \leq CK^{\chi}N^{-1}\,,$$

for all $k \in I$, provided that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}_{L,K}(\frac{\chi\sigma}{2}, \alpha)$. We hence obtain,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}} x_k - \alpha_k\right| \leq CK^{\chi}N^{-1}\,, \tag{6.32}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

Proposition 6.4 implies that there is C_O such that

$$\left|\int G_{L+p,n}(\mathbf{x})\left(f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} - \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}\right)\right| \leq C_O\sqrt{K}N^{\mathfrak{c}}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1}\,, \qquad (t \geq N^{\epsilon'}\tau)\,, \tag{6.33}$$

for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}_{L,K}(\frac{\chi\sigma}{2}, \alpha)$, N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

For $\alpha, \epsilon_0, \varsigma_1 > 0$ and a β-ensemble μ on $I^{(N)}$, define a set of particle configurations $\mathcal{R}_\mu^* \equiv \mathcal{R}_\mu^*(\epsilon_0, \alpha)$ by

$$\mathcal{R}_\mu^* \equiv \mathcal{R}_\mu^*(\epsilon_0, \alpha) := \left\{\mathbf{y} \in I^{(N-\mathcal{K})} \,:\, \mathbb{P}^{\mu^{\mathbf{y}}}\left(|x_k - \gamma_k| > N^{-1+\epsilon_0}\right) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}N^{\varsigma_1}}\,, \forall k \in I_{L,K}\right\}\,, \tag{6.34}$$

where γ_k denotes the classical location of the k-th particle with respect to the equilibrium measure of μ.

As in the proof of Theorem 6.4, it follows from Markov's inequality and the rigidity bound for the β-ensemble $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$ in Lemma 5.5 that we can choose $\mathcal{R}^*_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G} \subset \mathcal{R}_{L,K}$ and that $\mathbb{P}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\mu_G}(\mathcal{R}^*_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}) \geq 1 - c\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}N^{\varsigma_1}}$, for some $c > 0$, possibly after decreasing ς_1 by a small amount. For $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{R}^*_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}(\frac{\chi^2\sigma}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2})$, Lemma 5.1 of [30] implies that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}\mu_G^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}} x_k - \alpha_k\right| \leq CK^{\chi}N^{-1}\,, \tag{6.35}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Thus together with (6.32), we have

$$\left|\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}\mu_G^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}} x_k - \alpha_k\right| + \left|\mathbb{E}^{\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}} x_k - \alpha_k\right| \leq CK^{\chi}N^{-1}\,, \tag{6.36}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V, for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}(\frac{\chi\sigma}{2}, \alpha)$ and all $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{R}^*_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}(\frac{\chi^2\sigma}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2})$.

We now apply Theorem 6.5: Let $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ be as above. By the scaling argument of Lemma 5.3 in [30], we can assume that the two configuration intervals $\tilde{\boldsymbol{I}}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}$ agree, so that assumption (6.23) of Theorem 6.5 holds. Moreover, by Lemma 6.6 we know that $V^{\mathbf{y}}$ and $V^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$ are K^{χ}-regular external potentials. By (6.36) assumption (6.25) of Theorem 6.5 is satisfied. Thus Theorem 6.5 implies that there is $\mathfrak{b} > 0$, depending on σ and α, such that

$$\left|\int G_{L+p,n}(\mathbf{x})\left(\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} - \widehat{\psi}_t^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}\right)\right| \leq C_O K^{-\mathfrak{b}}\,, \tag{6.37}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Since the estimate (6.37) holds for all $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{R}^*_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}$, and since $\mathbb{P}^{\widehat{\psi}_t\mu_G}(\mathcal{R}^*_{\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G}) \geq 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}N^{\varsigma_1}}$, we can integrate over $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ to find that

$$\left|\int G_{L+p,n}(\mathbf{x})\left(\widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} - \widehat{\psi}_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_G\right)\right| \leq C_O K^{-\mathfrak{b}}\,,$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. In combination with (6.33), this yields (6.30).

□

6.4. **Proof of Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2.** Lemma 6.8 compares the local statistics of the locally-constrained measure $f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ with the β-ensemble $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$. In order to compare with local statistics of the measure $f_t\,\mu_G$ with $\widehat{\psi}_t\,\mu_G$, we integrate out the boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}$.

Lemma 6.9. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 6.8 the following holds. Let $J \subset [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]$ be an interval of consecutive integers in the bulk. Then,*

$$\left| \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j\in J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x})(f_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G - \widehat{\psi}_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G) \right| \leq C_O \left(N^{-\mathfrak{c}} + K^{-\mathfrak{b}} + K^{-\chi'/2} \right) + C_O \sqrt{K} N^{\mathfrak{c}} N^{-\mathfrak{a}} \tau^{-1}\,, \tag{6.38}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

Proof. For small $\chi' > 0$ as in Lemma 6.8, set $\tilde{K} := K - K^{1-\chi'/2}$. We first assume that J is such that $|J| \leq 2\tilde{K}+1$. We then choose L such that $J \subset I_{L,\tilde{K}} \subset I_{L,K}$. Recall the set of configurations $\mathcal{G}$ in Lemma 6.4. Using the conditioned measure $f_t^{\mathbf{y}}\,\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}$ we estimate

$$\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\left[\frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{j\in J} G_{j,n}\right] = \mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\left[\frac{1}{|J|}\int \sum_{j\in J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}) f_t^{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G})\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\mathfrak{c}}\right)\,, \tag{6.39}$$

where we used (6.16). Next, using Lemma 6.8 we obtain on Ω_V

$$\frac{1}{|J|}\int \sum_{j\in J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}) f_t^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{1}{|J|}\int \sum_{j\in J} G_{j,n}\,\widehat{\psi}_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G + \mathcal{O}\left(K^{-\mathfrak{b}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{K} N^{\mathfrak{c}} N^{-\mathfrak{a}} \tau^{-1}\right)\,,$$

on Ω_V. For the special case $|J| \leq 2\tilde{K}+1$, this yields (6.38).

If $|J| \geq \tilde{K}+1$, there are $L_a \in [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]$, with $a \in [\![1, M_0]\!]$, such that the intervals $I_{L_a,K} = [\![L_a - K, L_a + K]\!]$ are non-intersecting with the properties that $J \subset \bigcup_{a=1}^{M_0} I_{L_a,K}$ and $J \cap I_{L_a,K} \neq \emptyset$, for all $a \in [\![1, M_0]\!]$. Note that $M_0 \leq \frac{|J|}{K} + 2$. For simplicity of notation we abbreviate $I^{(a)} \equiv I_{L_a,K} = [\![L_a - K, L_a + K]\!]$ and $\tilde{I}^{(a)} \equiv [\![L_a - \tilde{K}, L_a + \tilde{K}]\!]$. We also label the interior and exterior points of a configuration $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathsf{F}^{(N)}$ accordingly,

$$\mathbf{x}^{(a)} = (x_{L_a-K}, \ldots, x_{K+L_a}) \in \mathsf{F}^{(\mathcal{K})}\,, \qquad \mathbf{y}^{(a)} = (y_1, \ldots, y_{L_a-K-1}, y_{L_a+K+1}, \ldots, y_N) \in \mathsf{F}^{(N-\mathcal{K})}\,, \tag{6.40}$$

c.f., (6.7). We let $\mathcal{G}^{(a)} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{L_a,K}(\epsilon_0, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{R}_{L_a,K}(\epsilon_0, \alpha)$ denote the set of configurations obtained in Lemma 6.4. Using this notation we can write

$$\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\left[\frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{j\in J} G_{j,n}\right] = \frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{a\,:\,I^{(a)}\cap J\neq\emptyset} \mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\left[\int \sum_{j\in I^{(a)}\cap J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}^{(a)}) f_t^{\mathbf{y}^{(a)}} \mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}^{(a)}} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}^{(a)})\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\mathfrak{c}}\right)\,, \tag{6.41}$$

on Ω_V, where the first summation on the right side is over indices $a \in [\![1, M_0]\!]$ such that the intervals $(I^{(a)})$ satisfy $I^{(a)} \cap J \neq \emptyset$. Here, we also used the probability estimate on $\mathcal{G}^{(a)}$ in (6.16). In (6.41) we may further restrict, for each a, the summation over the index j from $I^{(a)}$ to $\tilde{I}^{(a)}$ at an expense of an error term of order $|I^{(a)} \backslash \tilde{I}^{(a)}| \leq K^{1-\chi'/2}$. Then summing over $a \in [\![1, M_0]\!]$, with $M_0 \sim |J|/K$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\left[\frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{j\in J} G_{j,n}\right] = \frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{a\,:\,I^{(a)}\cap J\neq\emptyset} \mathbb{E}^{f_t\,\mu_G}\left[\int \sum_{j\in \tilde{I}^{(a)}\cap J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}^{(a)}) f_t^{\mathbf{y}^{(a)}} \mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}^{(a)}} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}^{(a)})\right] \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\mathfrak{c}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(K^{-\chi'/2}\right)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{6.42}$$

on Ω_V. Since for each choice of the index a the term in the expectation on the right side of (6.42) can be dealt with as in the case $|J| \leq 2\tilde{K}+1$ above, this concludes the proof of (6.38) for general J.

□

We can now give the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $\alpha > 0$. We first choose the constants $\mathfrak{a} \in (0, 1/2)$, $\mathfrak{c} \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon' > 0$, and the parameter $K \in [\![N^\sigma, N^{1/4}]\!]$ appropriately: Let $\delta > 0$ be a small constant. Then we set $\mathfrak{a} \equiv 1/2 - \delta$, $\mathfrak{c} \equiv \delta/4$, $K \equiv N^{\delta/4}$, $\epsilon' \equiv \delta$, $\sigma = \delta/8$. Note first that for this choice of K the condition (6.5) is satisfied. Second, for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, we observe that

$$KN^{2\mathfrak{c}}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1} = N^{3\delta/4}N^{-\mathfrak{a}}\tau^{-1} \leq K^\chi \,,$$

holds, e.g., for $\tau \geq N^\delta N^{-\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\chi > 0$ (with $\chi \leq \chi_0$). Thus (6.29) is satisfied with the above choices.

Hence, for $t \geq N^{2\delta}\tau$, Lemma 6.9 yields, for some $\mathfrak{b} > 0$

$$\left| \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} G_{j,n}(\mathbf{x}) (f_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G - \widehat{\psi}_t \mathrm{d}\mu_G) \right| \leq C_O K^{-\mathfrak{b}} + C_O N^{-\mathfrak{c}} + C_O K^{-\chi'/2} + C_O \sqrt{K} N^{\mathfrak{c}} N^{-\mathfrak{a}} \tau^{-1} \,,$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Thus, choosing $\tau \geq N^\delta N^{-\mathfrak{a}}$, there is a constant $\mathfrak{f} > 0$ such that (6.3) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

□

Next, we sketch the proof of Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. The proof of Theorem 6.2 is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 6.1. In fact, it suffices to establish Lemma 6.8 with μ_G replacing $\widehat{\psi}_t \mu_G$ on the left side of (6.30). This can be accomplished by applying Theorem 6.5 with μ_G instead of $\widehat{\psi}_t \, \mu_G$: Let $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{R}^*_{\mu_G}(\chi^2\sigma/2, \alpha/2)$ and let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}(\chi^2\sigma/2, \alpha/2)$. Using the arguments of Proposition 5.2 in [30], we can rescale μ_G such that (6.23) and (6.24) are satisfied for $\mathbf{y}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$. It is also straightforward to check that the external potentials leading to $\mu_G^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{R}^*_{\mu_G}(\chi^2\sigma/2, \alpha/2)$, are K^χ-regular. By Lemma 5.1 of [30] we obtain

$$\left| \mathbb{E}^{\mu_G^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}} x_k - \alpha_k \right| \leq C K^\chi N^{-1} \,.$$

Hence, using the estimate (6.31), we conclude that the assumption (6.25) is also satisfied. Thus Theorem 6.5 yields,

$$\left| \int G_{L+p,n}(\mathbf{x}) \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}} \, \mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} - \int G_{L+p,n,sc}(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}} \right| \leq C_O K^{-\mathfrak{b}} \,, \tag{6.43}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. We refer to the proof of Proposition 5.2 in [30] for more details.

Since $\mathcal{R}^*_{\mu_G}(\chi^2\sigma/2, \alpha/2)$ has exponentially high probability under μ_G, we can integrate over $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ to find

$$\left| \int G_{L+p,n}(\mathbf{x}) \widehat{\psi}_t^{\mathbf{y}} \, \mathrm{d}\mu_G^{\mathbf{y}} - \int G_{L+p,n,sc}(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_G \right| \leq C_O K^{-\mathfrak{b}} \,,$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

The proof of Theorem 6.2 is now completed in the same way as the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

7. From gap statistics to correlation functions

In this section, we translate our results on the averaged local gap statistics into results on averaged correlation functions. Since this procedure is fairly standard, see, e.g., [28], we refrain from stating all proofs in details. We first need to slightly generalize the setup of Section 6.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let O be an n-particle observable and consider an array of increasing positive integers,

$$\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \,. \tag{7.1}$$

Let $\alpha > 0$. We define for $j \in [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]$ and $t \geq 0$ an observable $G_{j,\boldsymbol{m},t} \equiv G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}$ by

$$G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathbf{x}) := O(N\rho_j(x_{j+m_1} - x_j), N\rho_j(x_{j+m_2} - x_j), \ldots, N\rho_j(x_{j+m_n} - x_j)) \,, \tag{7.2}$$

where $\rho_j \equiv \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t, \widehat{\gamma}_j(t))$ denotes the density of the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ at the classical location of the j-th particle, $\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)$, with respect to the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$. We set $G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}} = 0$, if $j + m_n \geq (1-\alpha)N$. Similarly, we define $G_{j,\boldsymbol{m},sc}$ by

replacing ρ_j by the density of the standard semicircle law at the classical locations of the j-th particle with respect to the semicircle law; c.f. (6.2). The following theorem generalizes Theorem 6.2.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and let O be an n-particle observable. Fix small constants $\alpha, \delta > 0$ and consider an interval of consecutive integers $J \subset [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]$ in the bulk. Then there are constants $\mathfrak{f}, \delta' > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be an array of increasing integers (see (7.1)) such that $m_n \leq N^{\delta'}$, and consider the observable $G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}$ respectively $G_{j,\boldsymbol{m},sc}$ (see (7.2)). Assume that $t \geq N^{-1/2+\delta}$, then*

$$\left| \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathbf{x})\, f_t(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) - \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{j \in J} G_{j,\boldsymbol{m},sc}(\mathbf{x})\, \mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq C_O N^{-\mathfrak{f}}, \tag{7.3}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. The constant C_O depends on α and O, and the constants $\mathfrak{f}$ and δ' depend on α and δ.

Theorem 7.1 is proven in the same way as Theorem 6.2. We remark that δ' is chosen such that $N^{\delta'} \ll K$, i.e., m_n is much smaller than the size of the interval $I_{L,K}$.

For $n \geq 1$, define the n-point correlation function, $\varrho^N_{f_t,n}$, by

$$\varrho^N_{f_t,n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-n}} (f_t \mu_G)^{\#}\, \mathrm{d}x_{n+1} \cdots \mathrm{d}x_N,$$

where $(f_t\, \mu_G)^{\#}$ denote the symmetrized versions of $f_t\, \mu_G$. Similarly, we denote by

$$\varrho^N_{G,n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-n}} \mu_G^{\#}\, \mathrm{d}x_{n+1} \cdots \mathrm{d}x_N,$$

the n-point correlation functions of the Gaussian ensembles; see (4.12).

Recall that we denote by $\widehat{L}_\pm(t)$, respectively $L_\pm(t)$, the endpoints of the support of the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$, respectively the measure $\rho_{fc}(t)$. Recall that the two densities f_t and $\widehat{\psi}_t$ are both conditioned on V, i.e., the entries (v_i) of V are considered fix. We have the following result on the averaged correlation functions of $f_t\, \mu_G$ and $\widehat{\psi}_t\, \mu_G$.

Theorem 7.2. *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose an n-particle observable O. Fix a small $\delta > 0$ and let $t \geq N^{-1/2+\delta}$. Let $\widetilde{\alpha} > 0$ be a small constant and consider two energies $E \in [L_-(t) + \widetilde{\alpha}, L_+(t) - \widetilde{\alpha}]$ and $E' \in [-2 + \widetilde{\alpha}, 2 - \widetilde{\alpha}]$. Then we have, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and for $b \equiv b_N$ satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}/2 \geq b_N > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Bigg| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{d}\alpha_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\alpha_n\, O(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \Bigg[\int_{E-b}^{E+b} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2b} \frac{1}{\rho_{fc}(t,E)^n}\, \varrho^N_{f_t,n}\Big(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{N\rho_{fc}(t,E)}, \ldots, x + \frac{\alpha_n}{N\rho_{fc}(t,E)}\Big) \\ - \int_{E'-b}^{E'+b} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2b} \frac{1}{\rho_{sc}(E')^n}\, \varrho^N_{G,n}\Big(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{N\rho_{sc}(E')}, \ldots, x + \frac{\alpha_n}{N\rho_{sc}(E')}\Big) \Bigg] \Bigg| \leq C_O N^{2\epsilon} \left(b^{-1} N^{-1+\epsilon} + N^{-\mathfrak{f}} + N^{-c\alpha_0} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V. Here $\mathfrak{a}$ is the constant in the rigidity estimate (5.6) and $\mathfrak{f}$ is the constant in Theorem 7.1. Moreover, $\rho_{fc}(t,E)$ stands for the density of the (N-independent) measure $\rho_{fc}(t)$ at the energy E. The constant C_O depends on O and $\widetilde{\alpha}$. Further, α_0 is the constant appearing in Assumption 2.2. The constant c depends on the measure ν.

Theorem 7.2 follows from Theorem 6.2. This is an application of Section 7 in [28]. The validity of Assumption IV in [28] is a direct consequence of the local law in Theorem 3.3. Further, we remark that the parameter b_N in Theorem 7.2 and the interval of consecutive integers J in Theorem 7.1 are related by $J = \{i : \widehat{\gamma}_i(t) \in [E - b_N, E + b_N]\}$, where $\widehat{\gamma}_i(t)$ are the classical locations with respect to the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$. This explains, up to minor technicalities, $b_N \gg N^{-1}$. Then Section 7 of [28] yields (7.4) formulated in terms of $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ instead of $\rho_{fc}(t)$. Using (3.21) and the smoothness of O, we can replace $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}$ by ρ_{fc} at the expense of an error of size $C_O N^{-c\alpha_0}$. This eventually gives (7.4) with $\rho_{fc}(t)$.

8. Proofs of main results

Theorem 7.2 shows that the averaged local correlation functions of ensembles of the form

$$H_t = \mathrm{e}^{-(t-t_0)/2} V + \mathrm{e}^{-t/2} W + (1-\mathrm{e}^{-t})^{1/2} W' \,,$$

with some small $t_0 \geq 0$, and with W' a GUE/GOE matrix independent of W and V, can be compared with the averaged local correlation functions of the GUE, respectively GOE, for times satisfying $t \gg N^{-1/2}$. In this section, we explain how this can be used to prove the universality at time $t = 0$.

8.1. **Green Function Comparison Theorem.** We start with a Green function comparison theorem. Assume that we are given two complex Hermitian or real symmetric Wigner matrices, X and Y both satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Let V be a real random or deterministic diagonal matrix satisfying Assumptions 2.3 and 2.2. Consider the deformed Wigner matrices

$$H^X := V + X \,, \qquad H^Y := V + Y \,,$$

of size N. The main theorem of this subsection, Theorem 8.2, states that the correlation functions of the two matrices H^X and H^Y, when conditioned on V, are identical on scale $1/N$ provided that the first four moments of X and Y almost match. Theorem 8.2 is a direct consequence of the Green function comparison Theorem 8.1.

Denote the Green functions of H^X, H^Y respectively, by

$$G^X(z) := \frac{1}{H^X - z} \,, \qquad G^Y(z) := \frac{1}{H^Y - z} \,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}) \,,$$

and set $m_N^X(z) := N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} G^X(z)$, $m_N^Y(z) := N^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} G^Y(z)$. From Theorem 3.3, we know that, for all $z \in \mathcal{D}_L$ (see (3.8)), with $L \geq 40\xi$,

$$\left| m_N^X(z) - \widehat{m}_{fc}(z) \right| \leq (\varphi_N)^{c\xi} \frac{1}{N\eta} \,, \qquad |G_{ij}^X(z) - \delta_{ij} \widehat{g}_i(z)| \leq (\varphi_N)^{c\xi} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im} \widehat{m}_{fc}(z)}{N\eta}} + \frac{1}{N\eta} \right) \,, \tag{8.1}$$

with (ξ, υ)-high probability on Ω_V for some $\upsilon > 0$ and $c > 0$, where

$$\widehat{g}_i(z) := \frac{1}{v_i - z - \widehat{m}_{fc}(z)} \,, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}) \,.$$

Here, $\widehat{m}_{fc}$, is the Stieltjes transform of the measure $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}$, which agrees with $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}^{\,\vartheta}$ for the choice $\vartheta = 1$ and with $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$ for the choice $t = t_0$. The identical estimates hold true when X is replaced by Y.

Recall that we denote by $\widehat{L}_\pm$ the endpoints of the support of $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}$, and that we denote by $\widehat{\kappa}_E \equiv \widehat{\kappa}$ the distance of $E \in [\widehat{L}_-, \widehat{L}_+]$ to the endpoints $\widehat{L}_\pm$. Adapting the Green function theorem of [31] we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. [Green Function Comparison Theorem] *Assume that X and Y satisfy the assumptions in Definition 2.1 and let V satisfy the Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Assume further that the first two moments of $X = (x_{ij})$ and $Y = (y_{ij})$ agree and that the third and forth moments satisfy,*

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\, \overline{x}_{ij}^{\,p} \, x_{ij}^{3-p} - \mathbb{E}\, \overline{y}_{ij}^{\,p} \, y_{ij}^{3-p} \right| \leq N^{-\delta-2} \,, \qquad (p \in [\![0,3]\!]) \,, \tag{8.2}$$

respectively,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\, \overline{x}_{ij}^{\,q} \, x_{ij}^{4-q} - \mathbb{E}\, \overline{y}_{ij}^{\,q} \, y_{ij}^{4-q} \right| \leq N^{-\delta} \,, \qquad (q \in [\![0,4]\!]) \,, \tag{8.3}$$

for some given $\delta > 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and let $N^{-1-\epsilon} \leq \eta \leq N^{-1}$. Fix N-independent integers $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ and energies $E_j^1, \ldots, E_j^{k_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, with $\widehat{\kappa} > \widetilde{\alpha}$ for all E_j^k with some fixed $\widetilde{\alpha} > 0$. Define $z_j^k := E_j^k \pm i\eta$, with the sign

arbitrarily chosen. Suppose that F is a smooth function such that for any multi-index $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$, with $1 \le |\sigma| \le 5$, and any $\epsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small, there is a $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\max\{|\partial^\sigma F(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \,:\, \max_j |x_j| \le N^{\epsilon'}\} \le N^{C_0 \epsilon'},$$
$$\max\{|\partial^\sigma F(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \,:\, \max_j |x_j| \le N^{2}\} \le N^{C_0},$$

for some C_0.

Then there exists a constant C_1, depending on $\sum_m k_m$, C_0 and the constants in (2.3), such that for any η with $N^{-1-\epsilon} \le \eta \le N^{-1}$,

$$\left| \mathbb{E} F\left(\frac{1}{N^{k_1}} \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{j=1}^{k_1} G^X(z_j^1), \dots, \frac{1}{N^{k_n}} \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} G^X(z_j^n) \right) - \mathbb{E} F\left(\frac{1}{N^{k_1}} \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{j=1}^{k_1} G^Y(z_j^1), \dots, \frac{1}{N^{k_n}} \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} G^Y(z_j^n) \right) \right| \le C_1 N^{-1/2+C_1\epsilon} + C_1 N^{-1/2+\delta+C_1\epsilon}, \tag{8.4}$$

for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

Theorem 8.1 is proven in the same way as Theorem 2.3 in [32] with the following modifications. Fix some labeling of $\{(i,j) : 1 \le i \le j \le N\}$ by $[\![1, \gamma(N)]\!]$, with $\gamma(N) := N(N+1)/2$, and write the γ-th element of this labeling as (i_γ, j_γ). Starting with $W^{(0)} \equiv X$, inductively define $W^{(\gamma)}$ by replacing the $(i_\gamma, j_\gamma), (j_\gamma, i_\gamma)$ entries of $W^{(\gamma-1)}$ by the corresponding entries of Y. Moreover set $H^{(\gamma)} := V + W^{(\gamma)}$. Thus we have $H^{(0)} = H^X$, $H^{(\gamma(N))} = H^Y$, and $H^{(\gamma)} - H^{(\gamma-1)}$ is zero in all but two entries for every γ. To prove Theorem 8.1 we use a Lindeberg type replacement strategy: we successively replace the entries of the matrix X by entries of the matrix Y. Note, however, that the entries of the matrix V are not changed.

The main technical input in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [31] is the estimate (2.21) in that publication. For the case at hand the corresponding estimate reads as follows: Let ξ satisfy (2.20). Then, for all $\delta > 0$, and any $N^{-1/2} \gg y \ge N^{-1+\delta}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\gamma \le \gamma(N)} \max_k \sup_{E \,:\, \widehat{\kappa}_E \ge \widetilde{\alpha}} \left| \left(\frac{1}{H^{(\gamma)} - E - iy} \right)_{kk} \right| \le N^{2\delta} \right) \ge 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\upsilon(\varphi_N)^\xi}, \tag{8.5}$$

on Ω_V for N sufficiently large, where $\upsilon > 0$ depends only on $\widetilde{\alpha}$, δ and the constants in (2.3). The estimate (8.5) follows easily from the local law in (8.1), the stability bound (3.20) and Lemma 3.6. The rest of the proof of Theorem 8.1 is identical to the proof in [31]. (The matching conditions (8.2) are weaker than in [31], but the proof carries over without any changes.)

Lindeberg's replacement method was applied in random matrix theory in [14] to compare traces of Green functions. This idea was also used in [45] in the the proof of the "four moment theorem" that compares individual eigenvalue distributions. The four-moment matching conditions (8.2) and (8.3) appeared first in [45] with $\delta = 0$. The "Green function comparison theorem" of [31] compares Green functions at fixed energies. Since the approach in [45] requires additional difficult estimates due to singularities from neighboring eigenvalues, we follow the method of [31], where difficulties stemming from such resonances are absent. For deformed Wigner matrices with deterministic potential the approach of [45] was recently followed in [39] where a "four moment theorem" has been established. It allows to compare local correlation functions of the matrices $V + W$ and $V + W'$ for fixed V, where W and W' are real symmetric or complex Hermitian Wigner matrices, provided that the moments of the off-diagonal entries of W and W' match to fourth order.

The Green function comparison theorem leads directly to the equivalence of local statistics for the matrices H^Y and H^X.

Theorem 8.2. *Assume that X, Y are two complex Hermitian or two real symmetric Wigner matrices satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Assume further that X and Y satisfy the matching conditions (8.2) and (8.3), for some $\delta > 0$. Let V be a deterministic real diagonal matrix satisfying the Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Denote by $\varrho^N_{H^X,n}, \varrho^N_{H^Y,n}$ the n-point correlation functions of the eigenvalues with respect to the*

probability laws of the matrices H^X, H^Y respectively. Then, for any energy E in the interior of the support of ρ_{fc} and any n-particle observable O, we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k}\mathrm{d}\alpha_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\alpha_n\, O(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)(\varrho^N_{H^X,n}-\varrho^N_{H^Y,n})\Big(E+\frac{\alpha_1}{N},\dots,E+\frac{\alpha_n}{N}\Big)=0\,,$$

for any fixed $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Notice that this comparison theorem holds for any fixed energy E in the bulk. The proof of [31] applies almost verbatim. The only technical input in the proof are the local law for m^X_N, respectively m^Y_N, on scales $\eta\sim N^{-1+\epsilon}$, which we have established in Theorem 3.3; see also (8.1).

8.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.5:** In the remaining subsections we complete the proofs of our main results in Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6. The proofs for deterministic and random V differ slightly. We start with the case of deterministic V; the random case is treated in Subsection 8.3.

Proof of theorem 2.5. Assume that $W=(w_{ij})$ is a complex Hermitian or a real symmetric Wigner matrix satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Let $V=\mathrm{diag}(v_i)$ be a deterministic real diagonal matrix satisfying the Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. (Note that the event Ω_V then has full probability). Set $H=(h_{ij})=V+W$. Let $E\in\mathbb{R}$ be inside the support of ρ_{fc}. Note that by Lemma 3.6, E is also contained in the support of $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}$, for N sufficiently large. (Here we have $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}=\widehat{\rho}^{\vartheta=1}_{fc}$ and similarly for ρ_{fc}.) Fix $\delta'>0$ and set $t\equiv N^{-1/2+\delta'}$. We first claim that there exists an auxiliary complex Hermitian or real symmetric Wigner matrix, $U=(u_{ij})$, satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1 such that the following holds: Set

$$Y:=\mathrm{e}^{-t/2}U+(1-\mathrm{e}^{t/2})W'\,,\tag{8.6}$$

where W' is a GUE/GOE matrix independent of W, then the moments of the entries of Y satisfy

$$\mathbb{E}\,\overline{y}^p_{ij}\,y^{3-p}_{ij}=\mathbb{E}\,\overline{w}^p_{ij}\,w^{3-p}_{ij}\,,\qquad\qquad\Big|\mathbb{E}\,\overline{y}^q_{ij}\,y^{4-q}_{ij}-\mathbb{E}\,\overline{w}^q_{ij}\,w^{4-q}_{ij}\Big|\le Ct\,,\tag{8.7}$$

for $p\in[\![0,3]\!]$, $q\in[\![0,4]\!]$, where (w_{ij}) are the entries of the Wigner matrix W.

Assuming the existence of such a Wigner matrix U, we choose $t_0\equiv t$ and set

$$H_t:=\mathrm{e}^{-(t-t_0)/2}V+\mathrm{e}^{-t/2}U+(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t})^{1/2}W'=V+\mathrm{e}^{-t/2}U+(1-\mathrm{e}^{-t})^{1/2}W'\,.$$

Then the matrices H_t and $H=V+W$ satisfy the matching conditions (8.2) and (8.3) of Theorem 8.1 (with, say, $\delta=1/4-2\delta'$). This follows from (8.7). Thus Theorem 8.2 implies that the correlation functions of H_t and H agree in the limit of large N, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{d}\alpha_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\alpha_n\, O(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\bigg[\frac{1}{2b}\int_{E-b}^{E+b}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\rho_{fc}(E)^n}\varrho^N_{H_t,n}\Big(x+\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{fc}(E)N},\dots,x+\frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{fc}(E)N}\Big)\\ -\frac{1}{2b}\int_{E-b}^{E+b}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\rho_{fc}(E)^n}\varrho^N_{H,n}\Big(x+\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{fc}(E)N},\dots,x+\frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{fc}(E)N}\Big)\bigg]=0\,,\end{aligned}\tag{8.8}$$

where $(\varrho^N_{H,n})$ denote the correlation functions of $H=V+W$ and where $(\varrho^N_{H_t,n})$ denote the correlation functions of H_t. (In fact, (8.8) holds even without the averages over E.)

On the other hand, for small $\delta>0$, Theorem 7.2 assures that the local correlation functions of the matrix H_t agree with the correlation functions of the GUE (respectively GOE), when averaged over an interval of size b, with $1\gg b\ge N^{-\delta}$, i.e., for any E' with $|E'|<2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathrm{d}\alpha_1\cdots\mathrm{d}\alpha_n\, O(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\bigg[\frac{1}{2b}\int_{E-b}^{E+b}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\rho_{fc}(E)^n}\varrho^N_{H_t,n}\Big(x+\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{fc}(E)N},\dots,x+\frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{fc}(E)N}\Big)\\ -\frac{1}{\rho_{sc}(E')^n}\varrho^N_{G,n}\Big(E'+\frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_{sc}(E')N},\dots,E'+\frac{\alpha_n}{\rho_{sc}(E')N}\Big)\bigg]=0\,,\end{aligned}\tag{8.9}$$

where $(\varrho^N_{G,n})$ denote the correlations functions of the GUE, respectively GOE. Combining (8.8) and (8.9), we get (2.15).

Thus to conclude the proof we need to show the existence of a Wigner matrix U with the properties described above. For a real random variables ζ, denote by $m_k(\zeta) = \mathbb{E}\,\zeta^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, its moments.

Lemma 8.3. [Lemma 6.5 in [31]] *Let m_3 and m_4 be two real numbers such that*

$$m_4 - m_2^2 - 1 \geq 0\,, \qquad m_4 \leq C_1\,,$$

for some constant C_1. Let ζ_G be a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance 1. Then for any sufficient small $\gamma > 0$, depending on C_1, there exists a real random variable ζ_γ with subexponential decay and independent of ζ_G, such that the first three moments of

$$\zeta' := (1-\gamma)^{1/2}\zeta_\gamma + \gamma^{1/2}\zeta_G$$

are $m_1(\zeta') = 0$, $m_2(\zeta') = 1$, $m_3(\zeta') = m_3$, and the forth moment $m_4(\zeta')$ satisfies

$$|m_4(\zeta') - m_4| \leq C\gamma\,,$$

for some C depending on C_1.

Since the real and imaginary parts of W are independent, it is sufficient to match them individually, i.e., we apply Lemma 8.3 separately to the real and imaginary parts of (w_{ij}). This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.5 for deterministic V.

□

8.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.6.** Next, we prove Theorem 2.6. Assume that $W = (w_{ij})$ is a complex Hermitian or a real symmetric Wigner matrix satisfying the assumption in Definition 2.1. Let $V = \mathrm{diag}(v_i)$ be a random real diagonal matrix satisfying the Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Denote by $\widetilde{f}_t\,\mu_G$ the distribution of the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$H_t := V + \mathrm{e}^{-t/2}W + (1-\mathrm{e}^t)^{1/2}W'\,, \qquad (t \geq 0)\,,$$

where W' is a GUE/GOE matrix independent of V and W. Let $\mathbb{E}^V$ stand for the expectation with respect to the law of the entries (v_i) of V. Recall the definition of the event Ω_V in Definition 3.3. Following the notation of the previous sections, $f_t\,\mu_G \equiv f_t^V\,\mu_G$ denotes the density conditioned on V. For an n-particle observable O and for $G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}$ as in (7.2), we may write

$$\int \frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{j\in J} G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathbf{x})\,\widetilde{f}_t(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}^V\left[\left(\int \frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{j\in J} G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathbf{x})\,f_t^V(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x})\right)\mathbb{1}(\Omega_V)\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\mathfrak{t}}\right)\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{t} > 0$ is the constant in (2.12) of Assumptions 2.3. Here we used the definition of Ω_V; see Definition 3.3. Since (v_i) are i.i.d., (3.3) holds with exponentially high probability. The estimate (3.4) holds with probability large than $1 - N^{-\mathfrak{t}}$ by Assumption 2.3. Hence $\mathbb{P}^V(\Omega_V^{\mathrm{c}}) \leq cN^{-\mathfrak{t}}$, for some $c > 0$ and N sufficiently large.

Using Theorem 7.1, we find that

$$\int \frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{j\in J} G_{j,\boldsymbol{m}}(\mathbf{x})\,\widetilde{f}_t(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{j\in J} G_{j,\boldsymbol{m},sc}(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{d}\mu_G(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\mathfrak{f}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\mathfrak{t}}\right)\,, \tag{8.10}$$

where we used once more the estimates on the event Ω_V. Here $\mathfrak{f} > 0$ is the constant appearing in Theorem 7.1.

To establish the equivalent result to Theorem 7.2, we need a local deformed semicircle law for the setting when the entries (v_i) of V are not fixed. Recall that we denote by m_{fc} the Stieltjes transform of the deformed semicircle law $\rho_{fc} = \rho_{fc}^{\vartheta=1}$.

Lemma 8.4. [Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.21 in [37]] *Let W be a complex Hermitian or a real symmetric Wigner matrix satisfying the assumptions in Definition 2.1. Let V be a random real diagonal matrix satisfying Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Set $H := V + W$, $G(z) := (H-z)^{-1}$ and $m_N(z) := N^{-1}\operatorname{Tr} G(z)$, $(z \in \mathbb{C}^+)$. Let $\xi = A_0 \log\log N/2$; see (2.20). Then there exists $\upsilon > 0$ and c (both depending on the constants in (2.3), the constants A_0, E_0 in (3.8) and the measure ν), such that for $L \geq 40\xi$, we have*

$$|m_N(z) - m_{fc}(z)| \leq (\varphi_N)^{c\xi}\left(\min\left\{\frac{1}{N^{1/4}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_E+\eta}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right\} + \frac{1}{N\eta}\right)\,, \tag{8.11}$$

and

$$|G_{ij}(z) - \delta_{ij} g_i(z)| \leq (\varphi_N)^{c\xi} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im} m_{fc}(z)}{N\eta}} + \frac{1}{N\eta} \right), \qquad (i,j \in [\![1,N]\!]), \tag{8.12}$$

with (ξ,υ)-high probability, for all $z = E + \mathrm{i}\eta \in \mathcal{D}_L$; (see (3.8)). Here, we have set

$$g_i(z) := \frac{1}{v_i - z - m_{fc}(z)}, \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+, i \in [\![1,N]\!]).$$

Moreover, fixing $\alpha > 0$, there is c_1 (depending on the constants in (2.3), the constants A_0, E_0 in (3.8), the measure ν and α), such that

$$|\lambda_i - \gamma_i| \leq (\varphi_N)^{c_1\xi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, \tag{8.13}$$

with (ξ,υ)-high probability, for all $i \in [\![\alpha N, (1-\alpha)N]\!]$. Here (λ_i) denote the eigenvalues of $H = V + W$ and (γ_i) are their classical locations with respect the deformed semicircle law ρ_{fc}.

Using the local law in Lemma 8.4, we obtain from (8.10) the equivalent results to Theorem 7.2.

Theorem 8.5. *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider an n-particle observable O. Fix $\delta > 0$ and let $t \geq N^{-1/4+\delta}$. Let $\widetilde{\alpha} > 0$ be a small constant and consider two energies $E \in [L_-(t) + \widetilde{\alpha}, L_+(t) - \widetilde{\alpha}]$ and $E' \in [-2 + \widetilde{\alpha}, 2 - \widetilde{\alpha}]$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and for $b \equiv b_N$ satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}/2 \geq b_N > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{d}\alpha_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}\alpha_n \, O(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \Big[\int_{E-b}^{E+b} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2b} \frac{1}{\rho_{fc}(t,E)^n} \, \varrho^N_{\widetilde{f}_t,n}\Big(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{N\rho_{fc}(t,E)}, \ldots, x + \frac{\alpha_n}{N\rho_{fc}(t,E)} \Big) \\ - \int_{E'-b}^{E'+b} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2b} \frac{1}{\rho_{sc}(E')^n} \, \varrho^N_{G,n}\Big(x + \frac{\alpha_1}{N\rho_{sc}(E')}, \ldots, x + \frac{\alpha_n}{N\rho_{sc}(E')} \Big) \Big] \Big| \\ \leq C_O N^{2\epsilon} \left(b^{-1} N^{-1/2+\epsilon} + N^{-\mathfrak{f}} + N^{-\mathfrak{t}} + N^{-1/4} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

for N sufficiently large. Here $\mathfrak{f} > 0$ is the constant in Theorem 7.1. Moreover, $\rho_{fc}(E)$ stands for the density of the (N-independent) measure ρ_{fc} at the energy E. The constant C_O depends on O, $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and the measure ν. The constant $\mathfrak{f}$ depends on δ and $\widetilde{\alpha}$.

The proof of Theorem 8.5 is an application of Section 7 in [28]. The validity of Assumption IV in [28] is a direct consequence of the local law in Lemma 8.4. Here and also below, we use that the local laws of Lemma 8.4 are only used on very small scales $\eta \sim N^{-1+\epsilon}$ in the bulk. For such small η the first error term in (8.11) is negligible compared to the second error term. Also note that the first term on the right side of (8.14) is bigger than the corresponding term in (7.4). This is due to the weaker rigidity bounds in case V is random; see (8.13). We therefore have to impose that $b \gg N^{-1/2}$ in order to have a vanishing error term in the limit of large N. Finally, we mention that the error term $C_O N^{2\epsilon} N^{-1/4}$ stems from replacing $\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,E)$ by $\rho_{fc}(t,E)$; see the comment below Theorem 7.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. The proof Theorem 2.6 follows now along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.5. First, we check that the Green function comparison Theorem 8.1 holds true for $H^X = V + X$, respectively, $H^Y = V + Y$ with random V. This is indeed the case, since the only input we used is the estimate (8.5), which also holds for random V by the local laws in Lemma 8.4 and the stability estimate (3.20). Note that we are using that the bound (8.5) is only required on scales $\eta \ll N^{-1/2}$. Similarly, we can establish Theorem 8.2 for random V using the Green function comparison theorem for random V, the local laws in Lemma 8.4 and the stability estimate (3.20). Finally, we note that the construction of the matrix U and Y (see (8.6)) and the moment matching in (8.7) do not involve V. We can thus complete the proof of Theorem 2.6 in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.5. □

Appendix A

In this appendix we prove the auxiliary results used in Sections 3 and 4: Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 4.2. We start with a more extended version of Lemma 3.5. Recall from (3.1) that we denote $\Theta_\varpi = [0, 1+\varpi']$, $\varpi' = \varpi/10$. Also recall the definition of the domain $\mathcal{D}'$ of the spectral parameter z in (3.16).

Lemma A.1. *Let ν satisfy Assumption 2.3 for some $\varpi > 0$. Then the following holds true for any $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$. There are $L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, with $L_-^\vartheta < 0 < L_+^\vartheta$, such that $\operatorname{supp}\rho_{fc}^\vartheta = [L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta]$ and there is a constant $C > 1$ such that, for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$,*

$$C^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa_E} \le \rho_{fc}^\vartheta(E) \le C\sqrt{\kappa_E}, \qquad (E \in [L_-^\vartheta, L_+^\vartheta]), \tag{A.1}$$

where κ_E denotes the distance of E to the endpoints of the support of ρ_{fc}^ϑ, i.e.,

$$\kappa_E := \min\{|E - L_-^\vartheta|, |E - L_+^\vartheta|\}. \tag{A.2}$$

The Stieltjes transform, m_{fc}^ϑ, of ρ_{fc}^ϑ has the following properties,

(1) *for all $z = E + \mathrm{i}\eta \in \mathbb{C}^+$,*

$$\operatorname{Im} m_{fc}^\vartheta(z) \sim \begin{cases} \sqrt{\kappa+\eta}, & E \in [L_-, L_+] \\ \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\kappa+\eta}}, & E \in [L_-, L_+]^c; \end{cases} \tag{A.3}$$

(2) *there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for all $z \in \mathcal{D}'$ and for all $x \in I_\nu$,*

$$C^{-1} \le |\vartheta x - z - m_{fc}^\vartheta(z)| \le C; \tag{A.4}$$

(3) *there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for all $z \in \mathcal{D}'$,*

$$C^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa+\eta} \le \left|1 - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{(\vartheta v - z - m_{fc}^\vartheta(z))^2}\right| \le C\sqrt{\kappa+\eta}; \tag{A.5}$$

(4) *there are constants $C > 1$ and $c_0 > 0$ such for all $z = E + \mathrm{i}\eta \in \mathcal{D}'$ satisfying $\kappa_E + \eta \le c_0$,*

$$C^{-1} \le \left|\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{(\vartheta v - z - m_{fc}^\vartheta(z))^3}\right| \le C, \tag{A.6}$$

moreover, there is $C > 1$, such that for all $z \in \mathcal{D}'$,

$$\left|\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{(\vartheta v - z - m_{fc}^\vartheta(z))^3}\right| \le C. \tag{A.7}$$

The constants in the statements (1)-(4) *can be chosen uniformly in $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$.*

Proof. We follow the proofs in [43, 37]. Let $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$. Set $\zeta = z + m_{fc}^\vartheta(z)$ and let

$$F(\zeta) := \zeta - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{\vartheta v - \zeta}, \qquad (\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^+). \tag{A.8}$$

Then the functional equation (3.5) is equivalent to $z = F(\zeta)$. As is argued in [43], a point $E \in \mathbb{R}$ is inside the support of the measure ρ_{fc}^ϑ iff $\zeta_E = E + m_{fc}^\vartheta(E)$ satisfies $\operatorname{Im} F(\zeta_E) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\zeta_E > 0$. Accordingly, the endpoints of the support are characterized as the solutions of

$$H(\zeta) := \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{(\vartheta v - \zeta)^2} = 1, \qquad (\zeta \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{A.9}$$

Note that $H(\zeta)$ is a continuous function outside $\vartheta\, I_\nu \equiv \{x : x = \vartheta y, y \in I_\nu\}$ which is decreasing as $|\zeta|$ increases. Since $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi = [0, 1+\varpi']$, with $\varpi' = \varpi/10$, we obtain from Assumption 2.3 that $H(\zeta) \ge 1 + \varpi/2$, for all $\zeta \in \vartheta\, I_\nu$. It thus follows that there are only two solutions, $\zeta_\pm^\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\vartheta\, I_\nu$, to $H(\zeta) = 1$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\zeta_-^\vartheta < 0$, $\zeta_+^\vartheta > 0$, and there is a constant $\mathfrak{g} > 0$, depending only on ν, such that

$$\inf_{\vartheta\in\Theta_\varpi} \operatorname{dist}(\{\zeta_\pm^\vartheta\}, \vartheta\, I_\nu) \ge \mathfrak{g}. \tag{A.10}$$

As argued in [43, 37], the set $\gamma := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^+ : \operatorname{Im} F(\zeta) = 0\,, \operatorname{Im}\zeta > 0\}$ is, for each fixed $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$, a finite curve in the upper half plane that is the graph of a continuous function which only connects to the real line at $\zeta^\vartheta_\pm$.

Since $\operatorname{dist}(\{\zeta^\vartheta_\pm\}, \vartheta\, I_\nu\} \geq \mathfrak{g} > 0$, $F(\zeta)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $\zeta^\vartheta_\pm$. Thus for ζ in a neighborhood of ζ^ϑ_+, we may write

$$F(\zeta) = F(\zeta^\vartheta_+) + F'(\zeta^\vartheta_+)(\zeta - \zeta^\vartheta_+) + \frac{F''(\zeta^\vartheta_+)}{2}(\zeta - \zeta^\vartheta_+)^2 + \mathcal{O}((\zeta - \zeta^\vartheta_+)^3)\,.$$

Note that $F'(\zeta^\vartheta_+) = 0$ by the definition of ζ^ϑ_+. Moreover, we know that $\operatorname{Im} F(\zeta) = 0$, for ζ in a real neighborhood of ζ^ϑ_+, but we also have $\operatorname{Im} F(\zeta) = 0$, for $\zeta \in \gamma \cup \overline{\gamma}$. Thus $F''(\zeta^\vartheta_+) \neq 0$. We can therefore invert $F(\zeta) = z$ in a neighborhood of ζ_+, to obtain

$$\zeta(z) = F^{(-1)}(z) = \zeta^\vartheta_+ + c^\vartheta_+ \sqrt{z - L^\vartheta_+}\left(1 + \mathcal{A}^\vartheta_+\left(\sqrt{z - L^\vartheta_+}\right)\right)\,, \tag{A.11}$$

(with the convention $\operatorname{Im} F^{(-1)}(z) \geq 0$), where L^ϑ_+ is defined by $\zeta^\vartheta_+ = L^\vartheta_+ + m_{fc}(L^\vartheta_+)$. Here, $c^\vartheta_+ > 0$ is a real constant and $\mathcal{A}^\vartheta_+$ is an analytic function that is real-valued on the real line and that satisfies $\mathcal{A}^\vartheta_+(0) = 0$. Recalling that $\zeta(z) = z + m^\vartheta_{fc}(z)$ and taking the limit $\eta \to 0$ we obtain (A.1), for fixed ϑ. To achieve uniformity in ϑ, we use the (uniform) stability bound (A.10) and the (pointwise) positivity of $|F''(\zeta^\vartheta_+)|$: We differentiate (A.9) with respect to ϑ and observe that $\partial_\vartheta H(\zeta, \vartheta)|_{\zeta=\zeta^\vartheta_+} \neq 0$, for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$, since $F''(\zeta^\vartheta_+) \neq 0$. Thus by the implicit function theorem, ζ^ϑ_+ is a C^1 function of $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$. Next, we observe that $F''(\zeta)$ is an analytic function of ζ, for ζ away from $\vartheta\, I_\nu$, thus, using once more (A.10), we can bound $|F''(\zeta^\vartheta_+)| \geq c$, for some $c > 0$, uniformly in $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$. In fact, $F^{(n)}(\zeta^\vartheta_+)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are all continuous functions of $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$, and we can bound them uniformly in ϑ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Repeating the same argument for ζ close to ζ^ϑ_-, we conclude the proof of (A.1).

Statement (2) follows from (A.10) for z close to the edges. For z away from the edges, Assumption 2.3 assures that the curve γ stays away from the real line for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi$ as is readily checked. This implies the stability bound for that region.

For the proofs of the remaining statements, we refer to the Appendix of [37]. □

Next, we prove Lemma 3.6.

Proof of Lemma 3.6. It follows from Assumption 2.3 that, on Ω_V for all N sufficiently large,

$$\inf_{x \in I_{\widehat{\nu}}} \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(\vartheta v_i - x)^2} \geq 1 + \varpi/2\,, \tag{A.12}$$

for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi = [0, 1 + \varpi/10]$. The analogous statements of Lemma A.1, holding on Ω_V for N sufficiently large, follow in the same way as in the proof of that lemma. To get uniformity in N, it suffices to check that the analogous expression to (A.10) holds uniformly in N, for N sufficiency large: By (A.12) there are two real solutions $\widehat{\zeta}^\vartheta_\pm$ to $\widehat{H}(\zeta) := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(\vartheta v_i - \zeta)^2} = 1$ that both lie outside of the interval $\vartheta I_{\widehat{\nu}}$. Thus (3.3) and (3.4), imply that

$$\inf_{\vartheta \in \Theta_\varpi} \operatorname{dist}(\{\widehat{\zeta}^\vartheta_\pm\}, \vartheta\, I_{\widehat{\nu}}) \geq \mathfrak{g}/2\,, \tag{A.13}$$

on Ω_V for all N sufficiently large. Then we can bound $\widehat{F}''(\zeta) = -\frac{2}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(\vartheta v_i - \zeta)^3}$, evaluated at $\widehat{\zeta}^\vartheta_\pm$, uniformly below in ϑ and N, for N sufficiently large, implying the uniformity in N of the constants in the statements (1)-(4).

Next we prove (3.21). For simplicity we drop ϑ from the notation and work on Ω_V. As above, set $\zeta = z + m_{fc}(z)$ and $\widehat{\zeta} = z + \widehat{m}_{fc}(z)$. From the definitions of F, $\widehat{F}$, and the Equations (3.5), (3.6), we have $\widehat{F}(\widehat{\zeta}) = F(\zeta) = z$, for all $z \in \mathcal{D}'$. Using the stability bound (A.13) and Equation (3.3) in the definition of

Ω_V, we get, assuming that $|\widehat{\zeta}-\zeta| \ll 1$,

$$[F'(\zeta)+\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha_0})]\left(\widehat{\zeta}-\zeta\right)+\frac{F''(\zeta)}{2}\left(\widehat{\zeta}-\zeta\right)^2 = o(1)\left(\widehat{\zeta}-\zeta\right)^2+\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha_0})\,, \tag{A.14}$$

uniformly in $\vartheta\in\Theta_\varpi$, for all $z\in\mathcal{D}'$. From Lemma A.1, we get $F'(\zeta)\sim\sqrt{\kappa+\eta}$ and $F''(\zeta)\le C$, for all $z\in\mathcal{D}'$. We abbreviate $\Lambda := |\widehat{\zeta}-\zeta|$ in the following.

We first consider $z=E+\mathrm{i}\eta\in\mathcal{D}'$, such that $\kappa_E+\eta > N^{-\epsilon}$, for some small $\epsilon>0$ (with $\epsilon<\alpha_0$). Here κ_E is defined in (A.2). For such z we obtain from (A.14) that $\Lambda\le CN^{\epsilon}(\Lambda^2+N^{-\alpha_0})$. Thus either $\Lambda\le C_0N^{\epsilon}N^{-\alpha_0}$ or $C_0N^{-\epsilon}\le\Lambda$, for some constant C_0. We now show that $|\Lambda|\le C_0N^{\epsilon}N^{-\alpha_0}$, for all $z\in\mathcal{D}'$ such that $\kappa_E+\eta\ge N^{-\epsilon}$. For $z\in\mathcal{D}'$ with $\eta=2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\zeta}(z)-\zeta(z) &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\frac{1}{\vartheta v_i-\widehat{\zeta}(z)}-\frac{1}{\vartheta v_i-\zeta(z)}\right]+\vartheta^{-1}m_{\widehat{\nu}}\left(\zeta(z)\right)/\vartheta)-\vartheta^{-1}m_{\nu}\left(\zeta(z)/\vartheta\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\widehat{\zeta}(z)-\zeta(z)}{(\vartheta v_i-\widehat{\zeta}(z))(\vartheta v_i-\zeta(z))}+\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha_0})\,,\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.3). Since $\eta=2$ and $\operatorname{Im}\widehat{\zeta}$, $\operatorname{Im}\zeta\ge\eta$, we obtain $\Lambda\le\frac{1}{4}\Lambda+\mathcal{O}(N^{-\alpha_0})$, i.e., $\Lambda(z)\le CN^{-\alpha_0}$, for $\eta=2$. To extend the conclusion to all η, we use the Lipschitz continuity of $\widehat{\zeta}(z)$, respectively $\zeta(z)$. Differentiating $z=F(\zeta)$, with respect to z we obtain $\partial_z\zeta=(F'(\zeta))^{-1}$. Thus, using property (2) of Lemma A.1 we infer that the Lipschitz constant of $\zeta(z)$ is, for $z\in\mathcal{D}'$ satisfying $\kappa_E+\eta>N^{-\epsilon}$, bounded above by $N^{\epsilon/2}$. The same conclusion also holds for $\widehat{\zeta}(z)$. Bootstrapping we obtain

$$|\widehat{\zeta}(z)-\zeta(z)|\le CN^{\epsilon}N^{-\alpha_0}\,, \tag{A.15}$$

on Ω_V for N sufficiently large, for all $z\in\mathcal{D}'$ satisfying $\kappa_E+\eta>N^{-\epsilon}$.

In order to control $\widehat{\zeta}(z)-\zeta(z)$ for $z=E+\mathrm{i}\eta\in\mathcal{D}'$ with $\kappa_E+\eta\le N^{-\epsilon}$, $\epsilon>0$, we first derive the estimate $|\widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{\pm}-L^{\vartheta}_{\pm}|\le CN^{-\alpha_0}$, for some $c>0$, on Ω_V. We recall that $\widehat{L}_{\pm}$, respectively $L_{\pm}$, are obtained through the relations

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{(\vartheta v_i-\widehat{\zeta}_{\pm})^2}=1\,,\qquad \int\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{(\vartheta v-\zeta_{\pm})^2}=1\,.$$

Then a similar argument as given above shows that $|\widehat{\zeta}_{\pm}-\zeta_{\pm}|\le CN^{-\alpha_0}$ and $|\widehat{L}_{\pm}-L_{\pm}|\le CN^{-\alpha_0}$ on Ω_V, N sufficiently large. We refer to the Appendix C of [38] for details.

Second, following the arguments in the proof of Lemma A.1, we may write, for $\widehat{\zeta}$ and ζ in a neighborhood of $\zeta_{\pm}$,

$$\widehat{\zeta}(z)-\widehat{\zeta}_{\pm}=\widehat{c}_{\pm}\sqrt{z-\widehat{L}_{\pm}}(1+\mathcal{O}(z-\widehat{L}_{\pm}))\,,\qquad \zeta(z)-\zeta_{\pm}=c_{+}\sqrt{z-L_{\pm}}(1+\mathcal{O}(z-L_{\pm}))\,. \tag{A.16}$$

We therefore get $|\widehat{\zeta}(z)-\zeta(z)|\le C\sqrt{\kappa_E+\eta}+CN^{-\alpha_0/2}$. Note that the constants can be chosen uniformly in $\vartheta\in\Theta_\varpi$. Choosing, e.g., $\epsilon=\alpha_0/4$, we get from (A.15) and (A.16) the desired inequality (3.21). □

We now move on to the construction of the potentials $\widehat{U}$ and U. We first record the following corollary of Lemma A.1. Set $\mathrm{B}_r(p):=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z-p|<r\}$. Recall the conventions in (2.8) and the definition of κ_E in (A.2).

Corollary A.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.5 (see also Lemma A.1), there are constants c^{ϑ}_{+}, $r_{+}>0$, such that for any $E\in\mathrm{B}_{r_{+}}(L^{\vartheta}_{+})\cap\mathbb{R}$,*

$$\operatorname{Im}m^{\vartheta}_{fc}(E)=\begin{cases}\sqrt{\kappa_E}(c^{\vartheta}_{+}+\mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}(-\kappa_E))\,, & E\le L^{\vartheta}_{+}\,,\\ 0\,, & E\ge L^{\vartheta}_{+}\,,\end{cases} \tag{A.17}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} m^{\vartheta}_{fc}(E) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}(-\kappa_E)\,, & E \leq L^{\vartheta}_{+}\,, \\ \sqrt{\kappa_E}(c^{\vartheta}_{+} + \mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}(\kappa_E)) + \mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}(\kappa_E)\,, & E \geq L^{\vartheta}_{+}\,, \end{cases} \tag{A.18}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}, \mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}$ are analytic functions on $\mathrm{B}_{r_+}(0)$ that are real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$, and that satisfy $\mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}(0) = 0$, $c^{\vartheta}_{+} + \mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+} > 0$, respectively $\mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+} < 0$, on $\mathrm{B}_{r_+}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for all $z \in \mathrm{B}_{r_+}(L^{\vartheta}_{+})$, the functions $\mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}, \mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}$, respectively $\operatorname{Im} m^{\vartheta}_{fc}$, $\operatorname{Re} m^{\vartheta}_{fc}$, are continuous in $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\varpi}$.

Similar statements hold at the lower edge L^{ϑ}_{-}.

Proof. Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\varpi}$. As argued in the proof of Lemma A.1, the function $F(\zeta)$ can be inverted locally around $\zeta^{\vartheta}_{\pm}$; see (A.11) above. Thus for ζ in a neighborhood of ζ^{ϑ}_{+}, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} m^{\vartheta}_{fc}(z) = F^{-1}(z) - z &= \zeta^{\vartheta}_{+} - z + c^{\vartheta}_{+}\sqrt{z - L^{\vartheta}_{+}}\left(1 + \mathcal{A}^{\vartheta}_{+}\left(\sqrt{z - L^{\vartheta}_{+}}\right)\right) \\ &= c^{\vartheta}_{+}\sqrt{z - L^{\vartheta}_{+}}\left(1 + \mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}(z - L^{\vartheta}_{+})\right) + \mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}(z - L^{\vartheta}_{+})\,, \end{aligned}$$

for z in $\mathrm{B}_r(L^{\vartheta}_{+})$, for some $r > 0$, where $\mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}$ are analytic in a neighborhood of zero and real-valued on the real line, since $\operatorname{Im} F^{-1}(E) = 0$, for $E \in [L^{\vartheta}_{-}, L^{\vartheta}_{+}]^c$. Equations (A.17) and (A.18) follow. From the proof of Lemma A.1, it is immediate that $c^{\vartheta}_{+} > 0$. Thus $c^{\vartheta}_{+} + \mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+} > 0$ in a real neighborhood of zero. Since $x - L^{\vartheta}_{+} - m^{\vartheta}_{fc}(L^{\vartheta}_{+}) < 0$, for all $x \in \vartheta\, I_{\nu}$, we must have $\mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+} < 0$ in a real neighborhood of zero. Since $F(z)$ is analytic on $\mathrm{B}_r(L^{\vartheta}_{+})$, for all $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\varpi}$, and since ζ^{ϑ}_{+} is a C^1 function of ϑ, the functions $\mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}$ are C^1 in $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\varpi}$. Then, it is clear from (A.10) that we can choose $r > 0$ uniformly in $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\varpi}$. The same arguments apply for ζ close to ζ^{ϑ}_{-}. □

The analogous result to Corollary A.2, is stated next. Recall the notation $\widehat{\kappa}_E := \min\{|E - \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{-}|, |E - \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{+}|\}$.

Corollary A.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.6 the following holds on Ω_V, for N sufficiently large. There are constants $\widehat{c}^{\vartheta}_{+}$, r'_{+}, with $r_+ \geq r'_{+} > 0$, such that for any $E \in \mathrm{B}_{r'_{+}}(L^{\vartheta}_{+}) \cap \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\operatorname{Im} \widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}(E) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\widehat{\kappa}_E}\big(\widehat{c}^{\vartheta}_{+} + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\vartheta}_{+}(-\widehat{\kappa}_E)\big)\,, & E \leq \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{+}\,, \\ 0\,, & E \geq \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{+}\,, \end{cases} \tag{A.19}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}(E) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\vartheta}_{+}(-\widehat{\kappa}_E)\,, & E \leq \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{+}\,, \\ \sqrt{\widehat{\kappa}_E}\big(\widehat{c}^{\vartheta}_{+} + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\vartheta}_{+}(\widehat{\kappa}_E)\big) + \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\vartheta}_{+}(\widehat{\kappa}_E)\,, & E \geq \widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{+}\,, \end{cases} \tag{A.20}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\vartheta}_{+}, \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\vartheta}_{+}$ are analytic functions on $\mathrm{B}_{r'_{+}}(0)$ that are real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$, and that satisfy $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\vartheta}_{+}(0) = 0$ and $\widehat{c}^{\vartheta}_{+} + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\vartheta}_{+} > 0$, respectively $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\vartheta}_{+} < 0$, on $\mathrm{B}_{r'_{+}}(0) \cap \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the constant r'_{+} can be chosen independent of $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\varpi}$ and N, for N sufficiently large.

Further, the functions $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\vartheta}_{+}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\vartheta}_{+}$, respectively $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}$, $\operatorname{Re} \widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}$, are continuous functions in $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\delta}$, for all $z \in \mathrm{B}_{r'_{+}}(L^{\vartheta}_{+})$. There is $c > 0$, such that

$$|\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^{\vartheta}_{+}(z) - \mathcal{B}^{\vartheta}_{+}(z)| \leq N^{-c\alpha_0/2}\,, \qquad |\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{\vartheta}_{+}(z) - \mathcal{C}^{\vartheta}_{+}(z)| \leq N^{-c\alpha_0/2}\,, \tag{A.21}$$

for all $z \in \mathrm{B}_{r'_{+}}(L^{\vartheta}_{+})$ and all $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\varpi}$, on Ω_V for N sufficiently large.

Similar statements hold at the lower edge $\widehat{L}^{\vartheta}_{-}$.

Proof. Corollary A.3 is proven in the same way as Corollary A.2. The only things to be checked are that $r'_{\pm} > 0$ can be chosen uniformly in N, N sufficiently large, and the bounds in (A.21). The former statement is an immediate consequence of the stability bound (A.13). The latter follows from $z = F(\zeta) = \widehat{F}(\widehat{\zeta})$, with $\zeta = z + m^{\vartheta}_{fc}(z)$ and $\widehat{\zeta} = z + \widehat{m}^{\vartheta}_{fc}(z)$. Then using (3.3), the stability bound (A.13) and the uniform lower bound on $F''(\zeta^{\vartheta}_{\pm})$, it is straightforward to derive the estimate (A.21) from (3.21). □

Next we prove Lemma 4.2. Recall from (4.15) that we chose $\vartheta \equiv \vartheta(t) = \mathrm{e}^{-(t-t_0)/2}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. For $c > 0$ and a measure ω on $\mathbb{R}$, we set $\operatorname{supp}_c \omega := \operatorname{supp} \omega + [-c, c]$. Recall the constants $r'_\pm > 0$ of Corollary A.3. Set $s := \min\{r'_-, r'_+\}/2$.

We specify the potentials $\widehat{U}$ and U through their spatial derivatives $\widehat{U}'$ and U'. For $t \geq 0$, we set

$$\widehat{U}'(t,x) + x := -2 \fint_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t,y)}{y-x} \, \mathrm{d}y \,, \qquad U'(t,x) + x := -2 \fint_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho_{fc}(t,y)}{y-x} \, \mathrm{d}y \,, \tag{A.22}$$

for $x \in \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\rho}_{fc}(t)$, respectively $x \in \operatorname{supp} \rho_{fc}(t)$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|x - L_\pm(t)| \leq s$, where $L_\pm(t)$ denote the endpoints of the support of the measure $\rho_{fc}(t)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{U}'(t,x) + x &:= -2\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^\vartheta_\pm(\widehat{k}_\pm) \,, \qquad & \widehat{k}_\pm &\equiv x - \widehat{L}_\pm(t) \,, \\ U'(t,x) + x &:= -2\mathcal{C}^\vartheta_\pm(k_\pm) \,, \qquad & k_\pm &\equiv x - L_\pm(t) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^\vartheta_\pm$ are the functions appearing in Corollary A.3 with $\vartheta \equiv \vartheta(t)$ and $\mathcal{C}^\vartheta_\pm$ are the functions appearing in Corollary A.2 with $\vartheta \equiv \vartheta(t)$. From Lemma A.1, Corollary A.2 and Corollary A.3, we conclude that $\widehat{U}'(t,x)$, respectively $U'(t,x)$ are well-defined for $x \in \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $s = \min\{r'_-, r'_+\}/2$.

For $x \notin \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, we define U' as a C^3 extension in x such that: (1) $U^{(n)}(t,x)$, $\partial_t U^{(n)}(t,x)$, $n \in [\![1,3]\!]$, are continuous in t; (2) for all $t \geq 0$ and for all $x \notin \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, $|U'(t,x) + x| > |2 \operatorname{Re} m_{fc}(t,x)|$ and $\widehat{U}''(t,x) \geq -C_U$, for some constant $C_U \geq 0$; (3) $U'(t,x) + x \sim x$ for all $t \geq 0$, as $|x| \to \infty$. Similarly, we define $\widehat{U}(t,x)$ as C^3 extensions such that: (1) $\widehat{U}^{(n)}(t,x)$, $\partial_t \widehat{U}^{(n)}(t,x)$, $n \in [\![1,3]\!]$, are continuous in t; (2) there is $c > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} |\widehat{U}^{(n)}(t,x) - U^{(n)}(t,x)| \leq N^{-c\alpha_0/2}$, $n \in [\![1,3]\!]$, for N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

We next show that the potential $U'(t,x)$ is a C^3 function in x. For simplicity, we often drop the t-dependence from the notation. Let $\zeta = z + m_{fc}(z)$ and recall from the proof of Lemma A.1 that $\zeta(z)$ satisfies $\zeta(z) = F^{(-1)}(z)$, where $F(\zeta) = \zeta - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{(\vartheta v - \zeta)}$. Thus, to prove regularity of $U'(t,x)$ in x in the support of the measure $\rho_{fc}(t)$ it suffices to show that $F'(\zeta) \neq 0$ on the curve $\gamma \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ where $\operatorname{Im} F = 0$. Recall that on γ we have

$$\widetilde{H}(\zeta) := \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{|\vartheta v - \zeta|^2} = 1 \,, \tag{A.24}$$

where $\vartheta \equiv \vartheta(t)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} F'(\zeta) = 1 - \int \frac{(\vartheta v - \operatorname{Re} \zeta)^2 - (\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^2}{|\vartheta v - \zeta|^4} \, \mathrm{d}\nu(v) \,.$$

Thus, on the curve γ,

$$\operatorname{Re} F'(\zeta) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{|\vartheta v - \zeta|^2} - \int \frac{(\vartheta v - \operatorname{Re} \zeta)^2 - (\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^2}{|\vartheta v - \zeta|^4} \, \mathrm{d}\nu(v) = \int \frac{2(\operatorname{Im} \zeta)^2}{|\vartheta v - \zeta|^4} \, \mathrm{d}\nu(v) \,.$$

From (2.10) we get

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{|\vartheta v - \zeta|^4} \geq \left(\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu(v)}{|\vartheta v - \zeta|^2} \right)^2 = 1 \,, \tag{A.25}$$

on γ. Since $F' \neq 0$ on γ, the inverse function theorem implies that $\operatorname{Re} m_{fc}(t,x)$ is a smooth function in the interior of $\operatorname{supp} \rho_{fc}(t)$, whose derivatives are continuous in t. For $x \in \mathrm{B}_s(L^\vartheta_\pm)$, we already showed in Lemma A.2 that $\mathcal{C}^\vartheta_\pm(x)$ is a smooth function, whose derivatives are continuous in t. Thus, we have shown that $U'(t,x)$ is smooth in $\operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$. Outside $\operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, $U'(t,x)$ is manifestly C^3 by definition: it is a C^3 extension of the functions $\mathcal{C}_\pm(t)$. Thus $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto U'(t,x)$, $\partial_t U'(t,x)$ are C^3 functions for all $t \geq 0$.

Clearly, we can bound the derivatives $U^{(n)}(t,x)$, $\partial_t U^{(n)}(t,x)$, $n \in [\![1,3]\!]$, uniformly on compact sets. It is also immediate that $U^{(n)}(t,x)$ are continuous function in $t \geq 0$. Thus we can bound $U^{(n)}$ uniformly in t and uniformly in x on compact sets, for $n \in [\![1,3]\!]$. For $x \in \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, we have $U''(t,x) \geq -C$, for some $C \geq 0$. For $x \notin \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, a similar bound holds true by construction. Thus $U'(t,x)$ satisfies (4.3) uniformly in $t \geq 0$. Further, since $U'(t,x) + x \sim x$, as $|x| \to \infty$, (4.4) also holds uniformly in $t \geq 0$.

On Ω_V, we can extend the reasoning above to $\widehat{U}'(t,x)$, $\partial_t U'(t,x)$, for N sufficiently large. For example, the arguments in (A.24)–(A.25) can be extended to the finite N case by using (3.3) and Lemma 3.6. Let again $s \equiv \min\{r'_-, r'_+\}/2$. Then for $x \in \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$ we have by Lemma 3.6 that $|\widehat{m}_{fc}(t, x+\mathrm{i}\eta) - m_{fc}(t, x+\mathrm{i}\eta)| \leq N^{-c\alpha_0}$, for some $c > 0$, on Ω_V for all $\eta \geq 0$ and all $t \geq 0$. Together with (A.21) we can conclude that $|\widehat{U}'(t,x) - U'(t,x)| \leq N^{-c\alpha_0/2}$ on Ω_V, for $x \in \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$. We also have $|\partial_x \widehat{m}_{fc}(t, x+\mathrm{i}\eta) - \partial_x m_{fc}(t, x+\mathrm{i}\eta)| \leq CN^{-c\alpha_0}$, for x satisfying $\min\{|x-L_+|, |x-L_-|\} \geq s$, as can be checked as in the proof of Lemma 3.6. Hence, combining this last statement with the regularity of $\widehat{C}^{\vartheta}_{\pm}$ claimed in Lemma A.3, we have $|\widehat{U}''(t,x) - U''(t,x)| \leq N^{-c\alpha_0}$, for $x \in \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, on Ω_V for N sufficiently large. This conclusion can be extended to arbitrary $\widehat{U}^{(n)}$. Similarly, one checks that $U^{(n)}(t,x)$, $n \in [\![1,3]\!]$, are continuous functions of $t \geq 0$. For $x \notin \operatorname{supp}_s \rho_{fc}(t)$, these properties follow directly from the definition of $\widehat{U}'$ above. Thus $\widehat{U}'(t,x)$ satisfies (4.3) and (4.4) with uniform constants for all $t \geq 0$ and N sufficiently large on Ω_V.

Finally, the potentials $\widehat{U}(t)$ and $U(t)$ are "regular" as follows from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. □

References

[1] Anderson, G. W., Guionnet, A., Zeitouni, O.: *An Introduction to Random Matrices*, Cambridge University Press (2010).

[2] Aptekarev, A., Bleher, P., Kuijlaars, A.:*Large n Limit of Gaussian Random Matrices with External Source, Part II*, Commun. Math. Phys **259**, 367-389 (2005).

[3] Bakry, D., Émery, M.: *Diffusions Hypercontractives* Séminaire de Probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, 1123 Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 177-206 (1985).

[4] Bekerman, F., Figalli, A., Guionnet, A.: *Transport maps for Beta-matrix models and Universality*, arXiv:1311.2315 (2013).

[5] Belinschi, S. T., Bercovici, H.: *A New Approach to Subordination Results in Free Probability*, J. Anal. Math., **101**, 357-365 (2007).

[6] Biane, P.: *On the Free Convolution with a Semi-Circular Distribution*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46**, 705-718 (1997).

[7] Bleher, P., Its, A.: *S*emiclassical Asymptotics of Orthogonal Polynomials, Riemann-Hilbert Problem, and Universality in the Matrix Model, Ann. of Math. **150**, 185-266 (1999).

[8] Bleher, P., Kuijlaars, A.: *Large n Limit of Gaussian Random Matrices with External Source, Part I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **252**, 43-76 (2004).

[9] Bleher, P., Kuijlaars, A.: *Large n Limit of Gaussian Random Matrices with External Source, Part III. Double Scaling Limit*, Commun. Math. Phys **270**, 481-517 (2007).

[10] Bourgade, P., Erdős, L., Yau, H.-T.: *Universality of General β-Ensembles*, arXiv:1104.2272 (2011).

[11] Bourgade, P., Erdős, L., Yau, H.-T.: *Bulk Universality of General β-Ensembles with Non-Convex Potential*, J. Math. Phys. **53.9** 095221 (2012).

[12] Bourgade, P., Erdős, L., Yau, H.-T.: *Edge Universality of Beta Ensembles*, arXiv:1306.5728 (2013).

[13] Boutet de Monvel, A., Pastur, L. and Shcherbina, M.: *On the Statistical Mechanics Approach in the Random Matrix Theory. Integrated Density of States*, J. Stat. Phys. **79**, 585-611 (1995).

[14] Chatterjee, S.: *A Generalization of the Lindeberg Principle*, Ann. Probab. **34**, 2061-2076 (2006).

[15] Chistyakov, G.P., Götze, F.: *The Arithmetic of Distributions in Free Probability Theory*, Cent. Euro. J. Math. **9**, 997-1050, (2011).

[16] Claeys, T., Wang, D.: *Random Matrices with Equispaced External Source*, Commun. Math. Phys **328**, 1023-1077 (2014).

[17] Deift, P., Gioev, D.: *Random Matrix Theory: Invariant Ensembles and Universality*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 18, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 2009.

[18] Deift, P., Kriecherbauer, T., McLaughlin, K. T.-R., Venakides, S., Zhou, X.: *Uniform Asymptotics for Polynomials Orthogonal with Respect to Varying Exponential Weights and Applications to Universality Questions in Random Matrix Theory*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52**, 1335-1425 (1999).

[19] Deift, P., Kriecherbauer, T., McLaughlin, K. T.-R., Venakides, S., Zhou, X.: *Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52**, 1491-1552 (1999).

[20] Dyson, F.: *A Brownian Motion Model for the Eigenvalues of a Random Matrix*, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 1191 (1962).

[21] Erdős, L., Knowles, A., Yau, H.-T., Yin, J.: *Spectral Statistics of Erdős-Rényi Graphs II: Eigenvalue Spacing and the Extreme Eigenvalues*, Commun. Math. Phys. **314**, 587-640 (2012).

[22] Erdős, L., Knowles, A., Yau, H.-T., Yin, J.: *The Local Semicircle Law for a General Class of Random Matrices*, Electr. J. Prob. **18**, no. 59, 1-58 (2013).

[23] Erdős, L., Péché, S., Ramírez, J. A., Schlein, B., Yau, H.-T.: *Bulk universality for Wigner matrices*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **63**, 895-925 (2010).

[24] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., Yau, H.-T.: *Semicircle Law on Short Scales and Delocalization of Eigenvectors for Wigner Random Matrices*, Ann. Probab. **37**, 815-852 (2009).

[25] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., Yau, H.-T.: *Local Semicircle Law and Complete Delocalization for Wigner Random Matrices*, Commun. Math. Phys. **287**, 641-655 (2009).

[26] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., Yau, H.-T.: *Wegner Estimate and Level Repulsion for Wigner Random Matrices*, Int. Math. Res. Notices. **2010**, 436-479 (2010).

[27] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., Yau, H.-T.: *Universality of Random Matrices and Local Relaxation flow*, Invent. Math. **185**, 75-119 (2011).

[28] Erdős, L., Schlein, B., Yau, H.-T., Yin, J.: *The Local Relaxation Flow Approach to Universality of the Local Statistics for Random Matrices*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **48**, 1-46 (2012).

[29] Erdős, L., Yau, H.-T.: *Universality of Local Spectral Statistics of Random Matrices*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **49**, 377-414 (2012).

[30] Erdős, L., Yau, H.-T.: *Gap Universality of Generalized Wigner and beta-Ensembles*, arXiv:1211.3786 (2012).

[31] Erdős, L., Yau, H.-T., Yin, J.: *Bulk universality for Generalized Wigner matrices*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **154**, 341-407 (2012).

[32] Erdős, L., Yau, H.-T., Yin, J.: *Rigidity of Eigenvalues of Generalized Wigner Matrices*, Adv. Math. **229**, 1435-1515 (2012).

[33] Johansson, K.: *On Fluctuations of Eigenvalues of Random Hermitian Matrices*, Duke Math. J. **91**, 151-204 (1998).

[34] Kriecherbauer, T., Shcherbina, M.: *Fluctuations of Eigenvalues of Matrix Models and Their Applications*, arXiv:1003.6121 (2011).

[35] Kuijlaars, A. B. J., McLaughlin, K. T.-R., *Generic Behavior of the Density of States in Random Matrix Theory and Equilibrium Problems in the Presence of Real Analytic External Fields*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53**, 736785 (2000).

[36] Mehta, M. L.: *Random Matrices*, Third Edition, Academic Press, New York, 1991.

[37] Lee, J. O., Schnelli, K.: *Local Deformed Semicircle Law and Complete Delocalization for Wigner Matrices with Random Potential*, J. Math. Phys. **54** 103504 (2013).

[38] Lee, J. O., Schnelli, K.: *Extremal Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of Deformed Wigner Matrices*, arxiv:1310.7057 (2013).

[39] O'Rourke, S., Vu, V.: *Universality of Local Eigenvalue Statistics in Random Matrices with External Source*, arXiv:1308.1057 (2013).

[40] Pastur, L.: *On the Spectrum of Random Matrices*, Teor. Math. Phys. **10**, 67-74 (1972).

[41] Shcherbina, M.: *Orthogonal and Symplectic Matrix Models: Universality and Other Properties*, Comm. Math. Phys. **307**, 761-790 (2011).

[42] Shcherbina, M.: *Change of Variables as a Method to Study General β-models: Bulk Universality*, arXiv:1310.7835 (2013).

[43] Shcherbina, T.: *On Universality of Bulk Local Regime of the Deformed Gaussian Unitary Ensemble*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **5**, 396-433 (2009).

[44] Shcherbina, T.: *On Universality of Local Edge Regime for the Deformed Gaussian Unitary Ensemble*, J. Stat. Phys. **143**, 455-481 (2011).

[45] Tao, T., Vu, V.: *Random Matrices: Universality of the Local Eigenvalue Statistics*, Acta Math, **206**, 127-204 (2011).

[46] Tao, T., Vu, V.: *Random Matrices: Universality of Local Eigenvalue Statistics up to the Edge*, Comm. Math. Phys. **298**, 549-572 (2010).

[47] Voiculescu, D., Dykema, K. J., Nica, A.: *Free Random Variables: A Noncommutative Probability Approach to Free Products with Applications to Random Matrices, Operator Algebras and Harmonic Analysis on Free Groups*, American Mathematical Society (1992).

[48] Wigner, E. P.: *Characteristic Vectors of Bordered Matrices with Infinite Dimensions*, Ann. Math. **62**, 548-564 (1955).

[49] Yau, H.-T.: *Relative Entropy and the Hydrodynamics of Ginzburg-Landau Models*, Lett. Math. Phys. **22**, 63-80 (1991).